

March 31, 2021

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

CIVIL DOCKET NUMBER:

IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON
TALCOM POWDER PRODUCTS 3:16-md-2738-FLW-LHG
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES
AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY STATUS CONFERENCE VIA REMOTE
LITIGATION ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCE

Date: March 31, 2021
Commencing at 3:30 p.m.

B E F O R E: JOEL SCHNEIDER, USMJ, RET.

A P P E A R A N C E S:

MOTLEY RICE LLC
BY: DANIEL R. LAPINSKI, ESQUIRE
210 LAKE DRIVE EAST, SUITE 101
CHERRY HILL, NJ 08002
For the Plaintiffs

ASHCRAFT & GEREL, LLP
BY: MICHELLE A. PARFITT, ESQUIRE
1825 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006
For the Plaintiffs

BEASLEY ALLEN LAW FIRM
BY: PATRICIA LEIGH O'DELL, ESQUIRE
218 COMMERCE STREET
P.O. BOX 4160
MONTGOMERY, AL 36104
For the Plaintiffs

March 31, 2021

Page 2	Page 4
<p>1 A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued): 2 COHEN, PLACITELLA & ROTH, PC 3 BY: CHRISTOPHER M. PLACITELLA, ESQUIRE 4 127 MAPLE AVENUE 5 RED BANK, NJ 07701 6 For the Plaintiffs 7 LEVIN PAPANTONIO THOMAS MITCHELL RAFFERTY PROCTOR, P.A. 8 BY: CHRISTOPHER V. TISI, ESQUIRE 9 316 SOUTH BAYLEN STREET 10 PENSACOLA, FL 32502 11 For the Plaintiffs 12 FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP 13 BY: SUSAN M. SHARKO, ESQUIRE 14 600 CAMPUS 15 FLORHAM PARK, NJ 07932 16 For the Defendant, Johnson & Johnson and 17 Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc., 18 now known as Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc. 19 SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 20 BY: JOHN H. BEISNER, ESQUIRE 21 RICHARD T. BERNARDO, ESQUIRE 22 1440 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. 23 WASHINGTON, DC 20005 24 For the Defendants, Johnson & Johnson and 25 Johnson & Johnson Consumer Companies, Inc., now known as Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. SEYFARTH SHAW LLP BY: THOMAS T. LOCKE, ESQUIRE 975 F STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004 For the Defendant, Personal Care Products Council LEVIN, SEDRAN & BERMAN BY: LAURENCE S. BERMAN, ESQUIRE 510 WALNUT STREET, SUITE 500 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106 A L S O P R E S E N T: Rachel L. Goodman, Esquire Montgomery McCracken Walker & Rhoads LLP</p>	<p>1 Committee. It's a pleasure to meet you. 2 MR. PLACITELLA: Good afternoon, Your Honor, 3 Chris Placitella. Hope you are well. I'm the liaison 4 counsel for the plaintiffs. 5 MR. LAPINSKI: Your Honor, good afternoon. 6 Dan Lapinski from the Motley Rice firm. 7 MR. TISI: Good afternoon, Chris -- this is 8 Chris Tisi from Levin Papantonio Rafferty at the -- on 9 behalf of the plaintiffs. 10 MR. BERMAN: I may be the last, Laurence 11 Berman, Levin Sedran & Berman, also for the plaintiffs. 12 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Mr. Placitella, 13 you either have the nicest, organized library I've ever 14 seen, or a very nice backdrop picture. 15 MR. PLACITELLA: I just finished a seminar 16 and, admittedly, it is a backdrop picture. 17 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. 18 Defendant. 19 MS. SHARKO: Susan Sharko from Drinker 20 Biddle, now known as Faegre Drinker, for the J&J 21 defendants. 22 MR. BERNARDO: Good afternoon, Your Honor, 23 Rich Bernardo from Skadden Arps, also for the 24 defendants. 25 And thank you, and thank you all, for</p>
Page 3	Page 5
<p>1 (PROCEEDINGS held via remote Zoom 2 videoconference before Joel Schneider, USMJ, Ret., at 3 3:30 p.m.) 4 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: 5 This is replacement Special Master Retired 6 Judge Schneider. We are here on the Talc MDL, 16-2738. 7 This is my first call with counsel. I'm delighted to 8 be here. 9 I don't know what the protocol is with Judge 10 Pisano. My understanding, I could be wrong, was that he 11 didn't have a court reporter for his conferences, but I 12 was looking at Judge Wolfson's order from 2017, Docket 13 Number 704, and I got the impression that the 14 proceedings should be of record. So I'm just going to 15 follow the instructions. I don't think it's a bad 16 practice to put our calls on the record. 17 Why don't we start with the, I guess, 18 introduction and entries of appearances. Start with 19 the plaintiffs as we usually do. 20 MS. O'DELL: Good afternoon, Judge, Leigh 21 O'Dell from Beasley Allen on behalf of the plaintiffs, 22 the Steering Committee. 23 MS. PARFITT: Good afternoon -- good 24 afternoon, Your Honor, Michelle Parfitt, Ashcraft & 25 Gerel, on behalf of the plaintiffs, the Steering</p>	<p>1 accommodating my -- my COVID shot. As you all know 2 it's been an ordeal to try to get an appointment. So 3 moving in line with -- and anyway, I appreciate the 4 courtesy. 5 MR. TISI: Show me hand, Richard. 6 MR. BERNARDO: It had nothing to do with my 7 COVID shot, unfortunately. 8 Judge Schneider, just so you know, I am a 9 total mess, I got a COVID shot, my hand is broken. 10 It's not off to a good start. 11 (Discussion off the record.) 12 MR. BEISNER: Your Honor, it's John Beisner 13 also from Skadden Arps on behalf of the J&J defendants. 14 MS. BRENNAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor, 15 Jessica Brennan, Faegre Drinker, on behalf of the J&J 16 defendants. 17 MR. LOCKE: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Tom 18 Locke, Seyfarth Shaw, on behalf of the Personal Care 19 Products Council. 20 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Rachel, you want 21 to put your name on the record just so everyone knows 22 who you are. 23 MS. GOODMAN: Sure. This is Rachel Goodman, 24 Montgomery McCracken. 25 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So I just want to</p>

Pages 2 to 5

March 31, 2021

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 6</p> <p>1 say that I'm delighted to have the appointment by Chief 2 Judge Wolfson, although, like you all, I regret the 3 circumstances under which the appointment occurred. 4 I knew Judge Pisano. He was a gentleman. He 5 gave me some great advice when I first joined the 6 bench, and will be missed. And I know I have big shoes 7 to fill, but I'm going to do my best. 8 I see some familiar faces on the phone, which 9 is great, and I'm sure you all did your due diligence 10 about who I am and where I came from. So there is no 11 need to go into that. 12 In sum and substance, I just retired after 14 13 years as a magistrate judge in Camden. And I guess the 14 last five or six years I had the pleasure of working on 15 two MDLs with Judge Kugler. Then I closed one, which 16 was resolved and Valsartan, which is still going on. 17 And I learned a lot that I think could help and will 18 help me grapple with the issues in this case. But I 19 know this case has a long tail, and there is a lot to 20 know. 21 I did a fair amount of work to get ready for 22 this call. I think I understand the general issues and 23 the complexity of this case, because of the parallel 24 proceedings in not only New Jersey State Court, but 25 state court around the country. I know we have to</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 8</p> <p>1 I sent an e-mail to Special Master Norton in 2 Missouri just alerting him to my appointment. And I 3 recently sent an e-mail to Judge Burlison in Missouri, 4 I think it is, notifying him of my appointment. But 5 again, we have had no conversations whatsoever. So to 6 put your mind at ease, I have had no substantive 7 conversations either in person or e-mail with any of 8 these people. I thought it was appropriate to wait to 9 get some insight from you. 10 My general understanding of where we are is 11 as follows: I know that the focus of this MDL to date 12 has been on the Daubert issues. I know that you dealt 13 with causation discovery. I know that Judge Pisano 14 recently entered an order permitting some corporate 15 depositions to go forward. 16 I'm generally under the impression that you 17 are now in the phase of the case where you are seeking 18 to identify and work up bellwether cases. I would like 19 to get a little bit more detail on where you are on 20 that. 21 At one time I saw on the docket a scheduling 22 order. I tried to track it down, but the docket is 23 just so big and my computer power is not that strong. 24 I just couldn't find it again. So I'm sure you can 25 alert me. But I have a vague recollection that at</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 7</p> <p>1 grapple with those issues. 2 I thought a good way to start this call was 3 just to -- instead of you telling me the history of the 4 case, I'll generally tell you what I know and if you 5 think there is any gaps that need to be filled, we'll 6 fill them in. 7 Talk about the general status of the case; 8 talk about the issues that you would like me to 9 address. I'm aware of the privilege issue and the 10 deposition issue. 11 And then when we leave this conference have 12 some sort of schedule for how we are going to proceed 13 with deadlines. I don't think any decisions will be 14 made today. 15 Just so you know, again, I'm new to this 16 asbestos talc world. So I introduced myself to the 17 players who I thought ought to know about my 18 appointment. I alerted Judge Porter with New Jersey 19 State Court of my appointment. We had a very brief 20 conversation. He's an incredibly gracious and nice 21 gentleman. 22 I alerted Judge Visconti by e-mail of my 23 appointment. We had no substantive conversations about 24 the cases. He acknowledged my e-mail. Wished me the 25 best.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 9</p> <p>1 least the last scheduling order was sometime in May or 2 June you were going to finish fact discovery. 3 That's what I know about the general status 4 of the case. At least the two general issues that we 5 are going to discuss today are privilege and 6 depositions. Anything else you want to discuss is 7 fine, we can, of course, discuss that. 8 But in terms of just a general status of the 9 case, and maybe dealing with it at sort of a 10 10,000-foot level, is my understanding of where we are 11 generally correct; you are through causation, Daubert, 12 discovery? It doesn't sound -- I could be wrong, it 13 doesn't sound like you've identified yet the 14 bellwethers that you are going to go to trial with, but 15 you have a subset of cases from which those cases are 16 going to be selected. Some or all of them are being 17 worked up now and at least at sometime in the past 18 there was a deadline that Judge Wolfson probably set to 19 finish fact discovery on those cases. 20 So that's my general understanding of where 21 we are. Maybe starting with the plaintiffs, can you 22 tell me what I missed and what I should know. 23 Again, we are just talking from a 10,000-foot 24 level, because there is just so much history here that 25 I may not need to know to do the work that I was asked</p>

Pages 6 to 9

March 31, 2021

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 10</p> <p>1 to do.</p> <p>2 MS. PARFITT: Judge, this is Michelle</p> <p>3 Parfitt, I am a plaintiff's colead.</p> <p>4 And perhaps just to start with the bellwether</p> <p>5 and then pass to my partner and others.</p> <p>6 We have, in fact, as of yesterday, we have</p> <p>7 whittled down the number of bellwether selections to</p> <p>8 three each. The process started months ago where we</p> <p>9 worked up preliminarily about 30 cases and then each</p> <p>10 side selected four, and then yesterday we -- each side</p> <p>11 struck one case from the other side.</p> <p>12 So, we are really at the phase of bellwether</p> <p>13 wherein we have now, both plaintiff and defendant have</p> <p>14 three cases each, and those cases will then move</p> <p>15 forward with regard to a more fulsome discovery</p> <p>16 process. So that's where we are right now. So that is</p> <p>17 moving full steam ahead, and we are obviously</p> <p>18 encouraged and excited about that process.</p> <p>19 So I took the very last comment that you</p> <p>20 talked about first with regard to where we are on the</p> <p>21 case-specific discovery.</p> <p>22 And you are absolutely correct with regard to</p> <p>23 Daubert. We spent the last few years working up that</p> <p>24 aspect of the case, and again, very excited to be</p> <p>25 getting to the liability portion of the case which,</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 12</p> <p>1 So we have a fairly arduous schedule ahead of</p> <p>2 us with regard to those six cases, but we've begun that</p> <p>3 process and, again, optimist that we will be able to</p> <p>4 have a trial the first of 2022.</p> <p>5 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I'm going to pick</p> <p>6 on you, because I have you in my box here.</p> <p>7 The latest scheduling order, the one I saw at</p> <p>8 one time that I couldn't track down; one, do you know</p> <p>9 the docket number; and, 2, do you have the dates handy</p> <p>10 what those deadlines are?</p> <p>11 MS. PARFITT: I'll do better then that. Now</p> <p>12 why don't we send that. How about that? I feel like</p> <p>13 I'm in law school now and I'm the block that you found,</p> <p>14 right, I'm being called, I'm Vannah White.</p> <p>15 No, no, I'm delighted to give the infor --</p> <p>16 why don't we do this, we can send you a copy of not</p> <p>17 only the scheduling order. How will that be? Then you</p> <p>18 will have that.</p> <p>19 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay, do you know</p> <p>20 the deadlines offhand? Because it -- it probably will</p> <p>21 be important for what we talk about today in terms of</p> <p>22 scheduling.</p> <p>23 MS. PARFITT: I do. If you give me just a</p> <p>24 few minutes, I'll go ahead and get that handy for you,</p> <p>25 okay?</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 11</p> <p>1 frankly, brings many of the issues to you.</p> <p>2 And I'll pass the baton to my partner, Leigh,</p> <p>3 and see if she wants to add anything to that.</p> <p>4 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Could I just ask</p> <p>5 you a question there?</p> <p>6 MS. PARFITT: Yes, mm-hmm.</p> <p>7 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So there is a</p> <p>8 total of six cases that are now in the bellwether pool.</p> <p>9 MS. PARFITT: Yes.</p> <p>10 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Do I take it that</p> <p>11 there is a general consensus that you are going to work</p> <p>12 up those six individual cases as if they are -- all six</p> <p>13 are going to go to trial?</p> <p>14 MS. PARFITT: That is correct. And then what</p> <p>15 will happen is, the plaintiffs will have the</p> <p>16 opportunity to make the first selection for the first</p> <p>17 bellwether trial; then the defendants will make the</p> <p>18 next selection for the second case.</p> <p>19 So we are on track to move forward. Judge</p> <p>20 Wolfson has indicated that she's hopeful that we will</p> <p>21 perhaps in 2022 be able to actually have a trial. And,</p> <p>22 again, we are enthused about that.</p> <p>23 The discovery order that is before the court</p> <p>24 right now with regard to those bellwether cases takes</p> <p>25 us through to about December of 2021.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 13</p> <p>1 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay.</p> <p>2 MS. PARFITT: All right.</p> <p>3 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So if you want to</p> <p>4 pass it off in the meantime to your colleague, that's</p> <p>5 fine.</p> <p>6 MS. O'DELL: Then, great, I will take the</p> <p>7 baton, Judge.</p> <p>8 I will say the initial deadline is May 15th</p> <p>9 for additional discovery in the cases that were</p> <p>10 selected, initial fact discovery. And if not mistaken,</p> <p>11 we depose experts July the 2nd, and it sort of moved</p> <p>12 through, you know, for the remainder of the year.</p> <p>13 But we'll put the order in the chat or</p> <p>14 circulate it, if that is of help to you.</p> <p>15 And so most of that work, Your Honor, will be</p> <p>16 case-specific work. And the expert causation work</p> <p>17 would be really in somewhat of a separate track than</p> <p>18 the liability discovery, you know, that will likely be</p> <p>19 the subject of this call, whether it be privilege</p> <p>20 challenges, corporate witness depositions, et cetera.</p> <p>21 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Generally, from</p> <p>22 plaintiffs' perspective as to these six cases, is there</p> <p>23 any, I'll call it fact discovery, generally, we can get</p> <p>24 into specifics after we drill down a little bit, that</p> <p>25 you want to take? Are there additional fact</p>

Pages 10 to 13

March 31, 2021

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 14</p> <p>1 depositions that -- apart from the ones that Judge 2 Pisano dealt with that you want to take?</p> <p>3 MS. O'DELL: Your Honor, let me make sure I'm 4 clear on your question.</p> <p>5 Are you will talking about in the individual 6 cases that have been selected for the bellwether pool?</p> <p>7 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Just the six. 8 Because that's all we are dealing with now; the six 9 cases, right?</p> <p>10 MS. O'DELL: Yes, sir. But in terms of the 11 bellwether preparation, that would be correct. And I 12 suspect in each of those individual cases, there will 13 be additional fact witnesses, lay witnesses, and then 14 also treating, other kind of health care professionals. 15 We haven't done that analysis, but I suspect that will 16 occur.</p> <p>17 But in a separate independent track, you 18 know, the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee would like to 19 focus on corporate witness depositions that haven't 20 been taken, certainly not in this MDL, but in other 21 state court proceedings as well.</p> <p>22 And so that liability discovery is something 23 that we really have not had a opportunity to turn our 24 attention to.</p> <p>25 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Are you going to</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 16</p> <p>1 discovery we need to do from a more general standpoint, 2 not case specific, that would be usable in the 3 bellwether trials that Judge -- that Judge Wolfson has 4 indicated she intends to try.</p> <p>5 The other aspect and the other -- I need to 6 keep on is the development of a trial package for the 7 purposes of -- for the purposes of any case that might 8 be remanded from her court.</p> <p>9 And so to answer your question, I believe 10 there are two subtracks here. That would be on one 11 hand trying to make sure that we have the liability 12 testimony necessary to prove our case on the liability 13 side for the cases that are bellwether cases and the 14 other one is a more long-term.</p> <p>15 I would also add here, there are other 16 potential third parties that we are looking into in 17 addition to corporate witnesses. We are evaluating 18 those. And so -- so I didn't want to leave this 19 conversation without -- without saying that your 20 question was premised on corporate liability witnesses, 21 but there might be some third-party witnesses who we 22 need to discuss as well.</p> <p>23 I hope I have answered your question.</p> <p>24 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Let me ask you 25 this question: Does anyone disagree with the notion</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 15</p> <p>1 ask to take any corporate depositions other than the 2 ones that Judge Pisano dealt with in his recent order?</p> <p>3 MS. O'DELL: You know, right now, I'll defer 4 to my colleague, Chris Tisi, who has been sort of 5 spearheading the discovery, liability discovery.</p> <p>6 And so Chris, if you want to take that 7 question you can provide a more fulsome answer. The 8 answer -- the true answer, I believe, Judge, is no. 9 But, Chris, please take it -- take it from there.</p> <p>10 MR. TISI: Well, Your Honor, the only reason 11 I know -- this is Chris Tisi, for the record, on behalf 12 of Plaintiffs' Steering Committee.</p> <p>13 There -- we mentioned -- you raised another 14 one yesterday of -- Rich Bernardo, who is my 15 counterpoint on the other side, we talked about Mr. 16 Jutkowski (phonetic) -- I'm sorry, Mr. -- he's a 17 witness, I'm forgetting the name. Rich, maybe you can 18 help me out on it but...</p> <p>19 As we go through and are appearing to these, 20 there may be other corporate witnesses who we want to 21 depose.</p> <p>22 I mean we have -- one of the things, I think, 23 to back up, if you don't mind, we have kind of a dual, 24 a dual responsibility here that I'm trying to navigate. 25 One is to take whatever corporate and third-party</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 17</p> <p>1 that we ought to have -- we ought to set a deadline 2 where the plaintiffs, and probably the defendants as 3 well, identify what additional discovery they want just 4 on these six bellwether cases?</p> <p>5 Ms. Sharko.</p> <p>6 MS. SHARKO: Yes. So in terms of 7 case-specific discovery relating to these specific 8 plaintiffs, we have that order in place. We have to 9 complete fact discovery by May 14. We have deadlines 10 for expert reports. Expert depositions are to be 11 completed by December 3. And then we move back into 12 Daubert with case-specific Daubert, which we haven't 13 done, and other dispositive motions.</p> <p>14 So I think in terms of what specific 15 discovery do we need about this plaintiff or that 16 plaintiff, we have a schedule on that.</p> <p>17 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Here's what I was 18 thinking about, Ms. Sharko.</p> <p>19 I know we have a May 14th date. So I'm 20 trying to get you to that date; finished, done, no 21 extensions.</p> <p>22 Wouldn't it be prudent to identify, say, in a 23 week or two, what discovery, say, the plaintiffs want. 24 Because if there is going to be an objection, let's tee 25 up the objection, get it decided so that we don't get</p>

Pages 14 to 17

March 31, 2021

Page 18	Page 20
<p>1 to May 12th and we have to tee up the issue for 2 decision. And if it's -- if plaintiff is permitted to 3 go forward with the discovery, it will never get done 4 by May 14th.</p> <p>5 So if plaintiff intends -- I'm just talking 6 about the 6th now. If plaintiff intends to take, say, 7 third-party discovery with regard to these six, 8 wouldn't it be prudent for them to identify in a week 9 or two or whatever date we agree to, what they want to 10 take.</p> <p>11 If there is no objection, fine, plaintiffs 12 have until May 14th to finish.</p> <p>13 If there is an objection, we can tee up the 14 objection sooner rather than later so we can meet that 15 May 14th date rather than what usually happens is, come 16 May 7th or 10th or 12th, Oh, by the way, Judge, you got 17 to decide this issue, and we need an extension of time, 18 because if you are permitted to go forward, we'll never 19 get it done by the deadline. That's what I'm thinking.</p> <p>20 MS. SHARKO: So I think in fairness -- in 21 fairness to the plaintiffs, what the parties intended 22 by the May 14 deadline was the deadline to complete the 23 depositions of the friends and relatives, the doctors, 24 employers, whatever people have specific knowledge 25 about a given plaintiff.</p>	<p>1 no contemplation of a cutoff of that, because we really 2 are getting started.</p> <p>3 And so, you know, we are very open to what 4 Your Honor would like in terms of contemplating a 5 schedule. But trying to get those depositions done by 6 May 14th, I'm not sure, you know, how that can be 7 accomplished.</p> <p>8 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Yeah.</p> <p>9 Well, Ms. O'Dell, I'm in a tough position 10 here, because in my former life I had some say over the 11 schedule, and I would say, "extension granted."</p> <p>12 But right now my hands are tied, and I have 13 to live with this May 14.</p> <p>14 Now, I don't disagree with you that I would 15 love for every -- since you've already identified these 16 six cases yesterday, right? The odds are pretty good 17 you haven't rolled up your sleeves and studied these to 18 the nth degree like you will for trial, both sides, 19 right?</p> <p>20 So would it be prudent to give both sides an 21 opportunity to identify, to study those cases, what 22 they want, see if there is any objections. Maybe you 23 all can agree on a reasonable new date to complete all, 24 A-L-L, discovery, not just friends and relatives, 25 doctors. Because I don't think it was anticipated when</p>
Page 19	Page 21
<p>1 I don't think we intended May 14 to be a 2 deadline to complete any other discovery. We are happy 3 to have a deadline. May 14 might --</p> <p>4 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: What about the 5 third -- what about the third-party discovery? That's 6 fact discovery.</p> <p>7 MS. SHARKO: I don't know what third-party -- 8 I don't know what third-party discovery they 9 anticipate.</p> <p>10 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: That's why -- 11 that's why I think -- that's why I suggest wouldn't it 12 be prudent to get that identified sooner rather than 13 later to see if there is an objection.</p> <p>14 MS. SHARKO: Sure.</p> <p>15 MS. O'DELL: Your Honor, we certainly would 16 be open to, you know, a schedule. But as Ms. Sharko 17 has said, and what the order says, and I sent it to you 18 by e-mail. Your Honor, somehow I can't place it in the 19 chat on the Zoom, and so I apologize for that.</p> <p>20 But it really is case specific and it was 21 intended to be, as she said, family and friends, 22 specific health care providers for that particular 23 plaintiff.</p> <p>24 And since we have not had opportunity to do 25 liability discovery at all, and there's been, to date,</p>	<p>1 this deadline was set that there would be a carveout 2 just for doctors and friends. I think when it says 3 "fact discovery," that's everything, right, 4 third-parties, corporate deps, everything.</p> <p>5 MS. O'DELL: No, sir. Actually it says, case 6 specific, you know, fact witnesses, I believe, in 7 that -- in relation to the May 14th or May 12th -- I 8 can't remember the date -- maybe May 14th deadline. 9 And it was really --</p> <p>10 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Don't you think 11 that that encompassed all discovery that you need for 12 trial of that case?</p> <p>13 MS. O'DELL: No, sir.</p> <p>14 MS. PARFITT: No.</p> <p>15 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: No?</p> <p>16 MS. PARFITT: I think so.</p> <p>17 I think this may be the one time, Your Honor, 18 that all of us are perhaps in agreement. Note this 19 moment. This is a good moment. You are right.</p> <p>20 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Freeze frame.</p> <p>21 MS. PARFITT: Freeze it. Freeze the frame.</p> <p>22 That's right.</p> <p>23 But I think the gentleman is exactly right 24 with what we -- we totally -- and that's what we are 25 hopeful to talk about today with you and if we need to</p>

Pages 18 to 21

March 31, 2021

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 22</p> <p>1 go back to Judge Wolfson. 2 I will say that, as everyone has indicated, 3 that that case specific, factual specific deadlines, we 4 really did anticipate that was just to get the cases 5 worked up. I hear the Court. 6 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. 7 MS. PARFITT: I know, I hear you completely. 8 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. 9 MS. PARFITT: We could -- there is a lot we 10 would like to do. And that's probably what brings us 11 to you today as well, because all of those things that 12 you are talking about would be prudent to do, we would 13 like to do. There are any number of deponents that we 14 would like to talk to Your Honor about today that we 15 feel would be important to take. 16 And you are absolutely correct, there will be 17 some additional -- I suspect there will be some 18 additional deponents based upon the depositions of the 19 current list. So we -- 20 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Can I ask you this 21 question? You are in agreement on the May 14th date, 22 fine. 23 The expert date, plaintiffs' expert date is 24 August 27th. Is it fair to state that it was 25 contemplated that before that date all fact discovery</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 24</p> <p>1 are going to do friends and family and doctors. And 2 August 1st, soup to nuts, you are going to finish 3 everything, fact discovery, on those six cases. 4 MS. SHARKO: So, Judge, if I may. 5 The deadline for the plaintiffs' expert 6 reports, which is all their expert reports, is 7 July 2nd, per the order that Ms. O'Dell, Ms. Parfitt -- 8 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. You're 9 right. Okay, I mixed it up. I have it in front of me. 10 You're right. 11 MS. SHARKO: That's okay. But I want to 12 add -- add more. 13 I don't want to inject any static, but I mean 14 we disagree -- we disagree that we are at the beginning 15 of discovery. If the car's in the garage, it's there 16 because the journey is complete. 17 Moving -- I'm not done. I'm not done. 18 Moving -- so moving -- moving on, where we 19 need the most help from Your Honor right now is on the 20 privilege issues and the requests for the deposition of 21 the lawyer. 22 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: No problem. Easy 23 enough. I'd like to put that issue aside, though, 24 because I don't -- that's going to be easy to deal 25 with --</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 23</p> <p>1 would be done? All the fact discovery that you are 2 going to use for that case at trial? 3 MR. TISI: Judge, I don't think -- and maybe 4 part of the problem -- this is Chris Tisi, again -- 5 part of the issue was that this was bifurcated. And I 6 would refer Your Honor to the December 16th letter we 7 sent to Judge Pisano. We made it clear in that letter 8 that we were going to identify additional third parties 9 on a separate track as well as perhaps some other -- 10 other corporate depositions. 11 Now, in terms of the date, the August date, 12 you know, I could be wrong here, but my impression was 13 that those were proceeding on a separate -- on a 14 separate track than the generic fact discovery that we 15 were trying to work with Judge Pisano on. 16 I think you have to recall, until Judge 17 Pisano actually issued his order in January, the 18 positions of the parties were we need to do some 19 discovery; no discovery ought to need happen at all. 20 So until January happened, we were -- the car was still 21 in the garage. We couldn't do anything. 22 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: How about this 23 suggestion. How about -- why don't you agree amongst 24 yourselves, and I can present it to Judge Wolfson or 25 you could present it to Judge Wolfson, say May 14th you</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 25</p> <p>1 MS. SHARKO: Okay. 2 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: -- but if 3 plaintiffs' final -- these six cases, expert reports 4 are due July 2nd, can we say that all fact discovery, 5 friends and family, third parties, any corporate 6 additional depositions that you are permitted to take 7 now or in the future are going to be done by June 1? 8 MR. PLACITELLA: Your Honor, can I just -- 9 can I just weigh in for one second? 10 Plaintiffs' expert report deadline was set. 11 There were certain assumptions that were going to be 12 made. 13 To the extent that the depositions of -- 14 corporate depositions are relevant and would be taken 15 into account in fashioning an expert report, there's 16 gotta be -- we have to program in enough lead time 17 between when that expert report is actually due and 18 when we finish the liability discovery. 19 Now, not every expert is going to be impacted 20 by liability discovery, but some will be. 21 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Right. 22 MR. PLACITELLA: And when that date was 23 established, I think it was established with the 24 assumption that there would be some level of inertia 25 going forward to get things going.</p>

Pages 22 to 25

March 31, 2021

Page 26	Page 28
<p>1 Unfortunately, without getting into who is 2 responsible for what, while the car may be in the 3 garage, there seems like a bunch of vehicles that have 4 been stuck in the mud.</p> <p>5 So, you know, we are going to have to get 6 them out of the mud and moving forward and we may have 7 to adjust some of those deadlines. That's all I'm 8 saying.</p> <p>9 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Would you -- would 10 you agree with me then, Mr. Placitella, that at least 11 at the moment, as far as plaintiffs are concerned, 12 there is no what I'll call a drop-dead deadline to 13 complete fact discovery for these six cases?</p> <p>14 MR. PLACITELLA: Yeah, if that includes 15 third-party discovery, I think the answer is yes.</p> <p>16 MS. SHARKO: That's correct.</p> <p>17 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. Do you 18 think it's prudent that we set that date, like you -- 19 first you -- see if you can agree with the defendants 20 on it.</p> <p>21 And by no means, by setting the date, don't 22 take that to mean that all the discovery that the 23 plaintiffs want is going to go forward. If there is an 24 objection, we have to deal with it, of course.</p> <p>25 But I'm hearing that although -- and you are</p>	<p>1 are not only at the mercy of the people on this phone 2 call, but we have to accommodate the schedules of other 3 people who might -- who might also need to take the 4 deposition.</p> <p>5 So we have run into a situation, I'm thinking 6 I'm thinking off the top of my head of a situation, we 7 agreed on a date, it was okay with folks in Florida and 8 Missouri and the MDL, but we come to learn it wasn't 9 okay with the lawyers coordinating in California. So 10 now we had to take the date and then push it down the 11 road to a time where it could accommodate everybody.</p> <p>12 So there is some scheduling challenges here 13 that I -- because of the way in which this case has 14 progressed that at least have to take into 15 consideration as we set those dates.</p> <p>16 If we were with just setting those dates for 17 doctors, for example, case-specific doctors in this 18 case, those are relatively easy to schedule.</p> <p>19 MS. SHARKO: So I have a suggestion. I have 20 a suggestion.</p> <p>21 I think it is pretty clear that no one on the 22 call, except Your Honor, was prepared to talk about 23 this issue. And we have done pretty well, I have to 24 say, Leigh and Michelle and I, in meeting and 25 conferring and agreeing on things.</p>
Page 27	Page 29
<p>1 all on the same page, there is a May 14th date, that is 2 not the date to complete everything. It just seems to 3 me the goal should be to get these six cases worked up 4 so that you can get ready to try them. We can't do 5 that unless we have a drop-dead fact discovery date, 6 corporate, third-party, friends, family, doctors, 7 whatever.</p> <p>8 What do you will suggest that date is?</p> <p>9 MR. TISI: May I just at least inject 10 something we haven't talked about now so at least you 11 have a full picture of where we are.</p> <p>12 One of the issues -- and this was 13 contemplated early on when we -- when we co-drafted and 14 the judge approved CMO No. 11, which was the defendants 15 had asked that we attempt to coordinate with other 16 jurisdictions that are conducting discovery. And one 17 of the challenges that -- that Rich and I have had, and 18 I don't want to ever speak for Rich -- but one of the 19 challenges we've had is if were just trying to schedule 20 depositions on our own it, would be a little bit 21 easier. One of the things that happened over time 22 was -- and we've had -- we've run into this a couple of 23 times -- because the defendants want to coordinate, or 24 conversely, if there is a deposition going on in 25 another jurisdiction that we want to cross notice, we</p>	<p>1 So my suggestion is you leave us to meeting 2 and confer about the concept of a deadline for 3 identifying the discovery and then a deadline for 4 completing it, consistent with the orders to which we 5 already agreed, because we definitely don't want to 6 undo those. We made progress to get there.</p> <p>7 And then when we have our next meeting, come 8 back and maybe we'll have an agreed-upon order, 9 hopefully, but if not, perhaps you can help us.</p> <p>10 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I think that's a 11 very good idea. That's an excellent idea, because, 12 one, these six cases were just identified yesterday. 13 So in fairness, we don't know what we don't know yet.</p> <p>14 And, you know, I live in the real world. I 15 don't live in a fantasy world. The orders are pretty 16 good you are going to ask Judge Wolfson for an 17 extension of time to complete -- well, maybe you are 18 going to object --</p> <p>19 MS. SHARKO: No.</p> <p>20 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: -- but the 21 plaintiffs will.</p> <p>22 MS. SHARKO: We are -- we are committed to 23 getting this done so we can have a trial the first 24 quarter of 2022. I think Ms. O'Dell and Ms. Parfitt 25 and I stand united.</p>

Pages 26 to 29

March 31, 2021

Page 30	Page 32
<p>1 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Right. No, I 2 don't -- I don't disagree with that. I don't think the 3 back end is going to be extended at all. But the 4 interim deadlines may have to be adjusted to 5 accommodate the plaintiff.</p> <p>6 We are hearing from the plaintiff today that 7 they are saying that they are not going to get 8 everything done by May 14th.</p> <p>9 MS. O'DELL: Your Honor.</p> <p>10 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: That's what I'm 11 hearing from the plaintiff.</p> <p>12 MR. BERNARDO: Your Honor.</p> <p>13 MS. O'DELL: Your Honor, if I could just -- 14 if I could interject here.</p> <p>15 I mean, we are really not -- you know, what 16 was contemplated for the order for the bellwethers is 17 Susan and Michelle and I did agree to that order. We 18 had no sense that for those case-specific preparations 19 for those bellwether trials that we would need an 20 extension at this point. Unless there is something 21 unforeseen, another wave of COVID, something else 22 happens, we are on track for that.</p> <p>23 What we have always envisioned is that there 24 would be a separate parallel track for liability 25 discovery that would not only be used for the</p>	<p>1 of it. 2 But Mr. Placitella raised what I think is a 3 good point, and how do we deal with that? How does -- 4 how does discovery continue through the end of the year 5 if it's relevant to the expert reports that are due in 6 June or July?</p> <p>7 MS. O'DELL: I think -- yes, and to pick up 8 on Chris's comments. There -- there are certain 9 experts that would be more pertinent, too.</p> <p>10 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Yes. Absolutely.</p> <p>11 MS. O'DELL: And so if you have a 12 case-specific gyn oncologist, for example, that is 13 offering a general causation and a causation opinion, 14 some of it might support -- further support their 15 opinions, but it's unlikely to provide a new opinion, 16 because they are relying on a lot of the discovery that 17 has already been taken, as well as for the causation 18 case.</p> <p>19 So understand Your Honor's point. I just 20 wanted to share with you what our perspective has been 21 in terms of preparing the cases going forward to the 22 bellwethers.</p> <p>23 MR. TISI: Judge, I'm sorry, I didn't mean 24 to -- 25 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: No, go ahead,</p>
Page 31	Page 33
<p>1 bellwethers in 2022, but would be materials that would 2 be gathered and marshaled for a trial package that 3 would be used in these cases when they are remanded to 4 their home jurisdictions.</p> <p>5 And so we have never thought -- we thought 6 they would work together. And so to be honest, I would 7 have anticipated, and I need to talk to my colleagues, 8 that liability discovery would be ongoing throughout 9 the remainder of the year because it's going to be used 10 in, you know, thousands of cases.</p> <p>11 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: But Ms. O'Dell, 12 but here's the problem with that, that I see. I want 13 to get back to what Mr. Placitella said, which I agree 14 with.</p> <p>15 Some of the ongoing fact discovery that you 16 want to take is likely -- not all of it, of course -- 17 is likely to be relevant to the expert reports that are 18 due by whatever the date is, June or July.</p> <p>19 So there is that conundrum of -- I mean, 20 if -- if the plaintiffs agree that they will get their 21 final expert reports in, that's it, we are done, 22 finished. I don't think the defendants are going to 23 object if you continue to take discovery.</p> <p>24 If they have an objection to the substance -- 25 in theory, they may have an objection to the substance</p>	<p>1 counsel. 2 MR. TISI: We did face this issue in the TRT 3 litigation, Your Honor. And the way in which it was 4 handled was, the parties can continue, and I think 5 Judge Connolly issued an order, that the parties, there 6 was a deadline for the parties to take liability 7 witnesses that would be usable in one of the bellwether 8 trials up until, I want to say it was 30 days before 9 trial, 45 days before trial, I would have to take a 10 check of the order.</p> <p>11 The fact of the matter is that for the 12 liability questions, okay, it is unlikely that they 13 will affect the expert reports. The expert reports are 14 primarily going to be scientific and medical, okay. 15 The depositions that we are taking primarily right now 16 are relating to, for lack of a better term, what did 17 you know and when did you know it? And that is 18 not typically --</p> <p>19 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay, fair enough.</p> <p>20 MR. TISI: This is not typically going to be 21 a question that is the subject of -- now, I'm not 22 saying that an expert wouldn't say, look, you know, I 23 think that such and such should have happened by such 24 and such date and, you know, now I read the deposition 25 of something that happened later on in the case.</p>

Pages 30 to 33

March 31, 2021

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 34</p> <p>1 But for the most part, the corporate 2 liability depositions we are taking are fact witnesses, 3 much like a witness to an automobile accident case. 4 That is not typically going to be the subject of expert 5 testimony. I'm not saying it won't be.</p> <p>6 So the way in which we dealt with it in the 7 TRT litigation was, Judge Connolly issued an order that 8 said, you know, no later than "X" date for this trial 9 all depositions need to be conducted by that date.</p> <p>10 Now, he didn't foreclose that there might be 11 other depositions for other trials, but for that date 12 he -- that's what he did.</p> <p>13 So I offer that as just a learning from 14 another piece of litigation that might be useful, for 15 whatever it worth. And it may not be worth much, but 16 it's what I throw out there.</p> <p>17 MS. SHARKO: So I just -- I'm concerned 18 because it is 4:13 and we lose Mr. Bernardo at 4:30, 19 and we need him to weigh in on the defense' side. I 20 think on the plaintiffs' side, too. They like to talk 21 to him better than they like to talk to me on these 22 issues. We need him on privilege and on the 23 lawyer-deposition issues.</p> <p>24 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay, let's turn 25 to that then, Ms. Sharko.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 36</p> <p>1 anything? And then we'll discuss how to tee up the 2 issue to get it decided.</p> <p>3 MS. O'DELL: Your Honor, we do have 4 challenges. We have been looking at the revised 5 privilege law. There has been a lot of activity on the 6 defense' side in terms of certain documents being 7 de-privileged.</p> <p>8 And so we have been looking at that. We are 9 expecting some new material from Rich, you know, 10 shortly in terms of the outstanding challenges that are 11 going on in state court as well so we can coordinate.</p> <p>12 Our discussion -- just discussion internally 13 has been that we would identify the documents that we 14 plan to challenge the privilege designation by April 15 the 9th in order to provide to Rich and others, meet 16 and confer, for those that we could not reach agreement 17 on that we would bring those challenges to Your Honor 18 for purposes of having you decide the issues.</p> <p>19 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: That's great. No 20 problem at all.</p> <p>21 Question: If we are dealing with a hundred, 22 hundred and fifty documents, very easily look at every 23 single one in camera. If we are dealing with seven or 24 eight thousand, you know, I can do it easy enough, I've 25 done it before, but it will make it a little bit</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 35</p> <p>1 MS. SHARKO: Thank you.</p> <p>2 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: And then if need 3 be, we can turn back to the other issue.</p> <p>4 I'm looking to you as the best way to tee up 5 this privilege issue. I think that -- I read the 6 transcript of the hearing, and I thought Judge 7 Wolfson's instructions were pretty clear.</p> <p>8 February 17th I wrote down: Privilege 9 question should be raised right at the outset so 10 everyone understands what's fair game and what's not. 11 If you think somewhere down the line any of these 12 documents may come into the case or you may be 13 interested in them you have to bring your objections 14 now; and if you don't do it, they are not coming into 15 this case. Can't do it later.</p> <p>16 So the instruction is, if the plaintiffs 17 think they may want to use any of these alleged 18 privileged documents at trial, they have to tee up this 19 objection now.</p> <p>20 And I read the transcript, you did, too, 21 Judge Wolfson said, in effect, I don't care if some 22 judge in Missouri said they are not privileged, we are 23 going to live and die by the decisions in this case.</p> <p>24 So really, I think the ball is in plaintiffs' 25 court. Do you know what you want to challenge, if</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 37</p> <p>1 harder, unless the parties can agree to put the 2 documents in categories and have a representative 3 sample of each category and the ruling as to the 4 representative sample will apply to that category.</p> <p>5 Any discussion about that?</p> <p>6 MR. BERNARDO: Your Honor, this is exactly 7 how we have been agreeing to do it in connection with 8 the New Jersey challenge. And I have been working well 9 with Ms. O'Dell and Ms. Parfitt, Mr. Tisi, and will 10 continue to do that to try and streamline this. I 11 think the April 9th deadline is fine.</p> <p>12 I think the key here is that there are 13 challenges being made in multiple courts over the same 14 documents. And we needed to do something to ensure 15 that they are getting dealt with in some coordinated 16 manner.</p> <p>17 I think Step 1 is as Ms. O'Dell suggests, for 18 us to meet and confer and come up with the list. I 19 think your suggestion, Your Honor, of categories is 20 spot on. That's how the challenges really have been 21 done in the ones in New Jersey, and we already have 22 focused on categories. And as I think that Your Honor 23 knows, several of those categories have already been 24 briefed. And we would suggest that those be the first 25 in line, so that whatever courts are looking at them,</p>

Pages 34 to 37

March 31, 2021

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 38</p> <p>1 Your Honor, in conjunction with Judge Visconti or Judge 2 Norton, however that's going to work, it get done 3 together.</p> <p>4 So I'm happy to work with Ms. O'Dell to 5 narrow that. Also agree that trying to refine it to a 6 representative set makes much more sense. I think some 7 of these are legal issues that could -- I would say, 8 uphold the privilege of large swaths of documents.</p> <p>9 Ms. O'Dell may have the opposite view. In any event, I 10 think we are all on board with that.</p> <p>11 And if I may, since there actually is a 12 transition here from the privilege issue to the 13 deposition issue, if I could just segue into that, Your 14 Honor, simply because that has some time sensitivity 15 associated with it in light of --</p> <p>16 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Can I ask one 17 question, though, before we get to that, Mr. Bernardo.</p> <p>18 The question would be to Ms. O'Dell.</p> <p>19 April 9th, whatever you present to the court, 20 let's say there is a hundred and fifty documents, two 21 hundred documents, whatever, either that's the whole 22 set or that's the representative sample.</p> <p>23 Do you anticipate on April 9 you are just 24 going to send me a one-page or a two-page letter saying 25 these are the documents? Or on April 9th am I going to</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 40</p> <p>1 decide the issue?</p> <p>2 MR. BERNARDO: Your Honor, I think that 3 works. What I would like to build in, if we may, is an 4 opportunity, if you are available after we get their 5 list on April 9th, to confer with Your Honor if we feel 6 as if however this is going to get adjudicated doesn't 7 really make sense in light of the other issues that are 8 being briefed. I agree with the schedule.</p> <p>9 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: No problem.</p> <p>10 MR. BERNARDO: With that minor edit, once I 11 get Ms. O'Dell's list -- I'll even after this call set 12 up a date with her to discuss their list. Because I am 13 sure we can do that in short order.</p> <p>14 And then if based on the list or the process 15 we have issues, we'll reach out to Your Honor to raise 16 them. Because I think there may be some logistical 17 procedural issues that we will want to discuss with you 18 before we put it in writing.</p> <p>19 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. How about 20 this, Mr. Bernardo -- I don't disagree with anything 21 you said. April 9 you will get the list. You will 22 meet and confer. To the extent you can't agree -- this 23 is the default setting: By April 23rd, defendant will 24 file its letter brief with supporting affidavit, 25 affidavits.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 39</p> <p>1 get the documents to review in camera with the 2 appropriate supporting affidavit to support the 3 privilege or work product claim?</p> <p>4 MS. O'DELL: Our suggestion, Your Honor, was 5 that on April 9th we will give the final list to Rich 6 of those documents that we are going to challenge. 7 Thereafter, the parties would meet and confer. We 8 would narrow down to those areas where we -- 9 disagreement remains. And then we would submit that to 10 Your Honor with briefing on why plaintiffs believe that 11 the documents are not privileged.</p> <p>12 So that would occur sometime -- and 13 depending, you know, on our meet and confer, sometime 14 at the end of April.</p> <p>15 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Well, let's get a 16 date for that now.</p> <p>17 Let's get a definite -- let's get a date.</p> <p>18 If April 9 defendant is going to get the 19 list, you are going to meet and confer, hopefully the 20 sky will fall in and you will agree on everything, but 21 that's not going to happen.</p> <p>22 So can we say on April 23rd, defendant, you 23 have the burden of proof, you are going to submit your 24 letter brief, supporting affidavits. And a week after 25 that, plaintiff, you will respond, and then we'll</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 41</p> <p>1 Plaintiff responds by April 30th. 2 If you think it will help advance the ball to 3 have a phone call between the 9th and the 23rd, 4 perfectly fine, and we can change this procedure. So 5 let's just have a default procedure so at least we have 6 some sort of plan going forward.</p> <p>7 MR. BERNARDO: That makes sense to the 8 defense.</p> <p>9 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. Let's segue 10 to the deposition issue, unless we -- Mr. Placitella?</p> <p>11 MR. PLACITELLA: Yeah, I would just ask the 12 Court, this is a pretty important issue, and if we can 13 have a few more days other than a week to respond, that 14 would be appreciated.</p> <p>15 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. That 16 reminds me of a question I wanted to ask Ms. O'Dell.</p> <p>17 If this issue has been briefed already, can I 18 see those -- I don't want to see the decision, but can 19 I see the briefs that have already been filed on these 20 documents? So I may get to a decision as quickly as 21 possible?</p> <p>22 MR. PLACITELLA: Well, they have been briefed 23 by the parties in a state mesothelioma case. And I 24 don't even know that all the people on the Plaintiffs' 25 Steering Committee have seen the briefs. I've seen</p>

Pages 38 to 41

March 31, 2021

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 42</p> <p>1 them. But the issues are not the same.</p> <p>2 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay.</p> <p>3 MR. PLACITELLA: Some are the same. Some,</p> <p>4 you know, they overlap but, you know, we still think</p> <p>5 it's really important to fully brief the issue for you.</p> <p>6 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: No problem.</p> <p>7 Mr. Placitella, I'm familiar with the issues.</p> <p>8 I don't need to know the boilerplate --</p> <p>9 MR. PLACITELLA: I understand.</p> <p>10 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: -- about the</p> <p>11 attorney-client privilege.</p> <p>12 Your briefs should just get to the crux of</p> <p>13 it. That's what I'm really interested in.</p> <p>14 How about May 5th; is that okay? That will</p> <p>15 give you the weekend and a few extra days?</p> <p>16 MS. O'DELL: Your Honor, can I ask our</p> <p>17 colleague, Dan Lapinski, who is going to probably be</p> <p>18 taking some of the laboring ore on this. I hate to</p> <p>19 agree to stuff while his on the phone. He can kick me</p> <p>20 under the table figuratively.</p> <p>21 So, Dan, does this work or do you need...</p> <p>22 MR. LAPINSKI: I like the -- I like the</p> <p>23 August 27th deadline that you were talking about</p> <p>24 earlier, but...</p> <p>25 Yes, the 5th should be fine.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 44</p> <p>1 So what we, I think, would be presenting to</p> <p>2 Your Honor would be things for which we think there is</p> <p>3 a legitimate basis for privilege and the supporting</p> <p>4 materials will not be pro forma.</p> <p>5 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I know Ms. Sharko</p> <p>6 said it's only one percent of the production. But one</p> <p>7 percent of multi-millions is a lot of documents, right,</p> <p>8 Ms. Sharko?</p> <p>9 MR. BERNARDO: I'm sure given how far we have</p> <p>10 gone in downgrading documents, that Ms. O'Dell's</p> <p>11 challenges will be very targeted as to a much smaller</p> <p>12 number.</p> <p>13 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I don't have any</p> <p>14 doubt whatsoever.</p> <p>15 Let's segue to the deposition issue you</p> <p>16 wanted.</p> <p>17 It seems to me there's -- it seems to me</p> <p>18 there's two categories to deal with. There is the</p> <p>19 three people that are in your letter and then the</p> <p>20 president. I don't really see them as the same issue.</p> <p>21 MR. BERNARDO: I agree. And I'll just say,</p> <p>22 again, we can note the time and date for the record. I</p> <p>23 agree with what Mr. Tisi said earlier in the call,</p> <p>24 where he and I have been working well together to try</p> <p>25 and deal with the depositions that have been requested</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 43</p> <p>1 MS. O'DELL: Thank you.</p> <p>2 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. Again,</p> <p>3 without prejudice, Mr. Bernardo, to have the call that</p> <p>4 we talked about.</p> <p>5 Let me just say this, you've done this a</p> <p>6 million times before. I've done this a million times</p> <p>7 before. And I recently wrote on this in Valsartan.</p> <p>8 When you send your supporting affidavits in</p> <p>9 support of the privilege claim, I don't want to see</p> <p>10 sort of a generic, it's privileged, pro forma</p> <p>11 affidavit, you know. You know what is needed to be --</p> <p>12 to support a claim.</p> <p>13 So I would -- would not expect the caliber of</p> <p>14 the lawyers in this case that we are going to get what</p> <p>15 we typically get, the pro forma affidavit, it's</p> <p>16 privileged, work product, I anticipated litigation.</p> <p>17 But you know what I'm talking about, right?</p> <p>18 MR. BERNARDO: I do, Your Honor. And I will</p> <p>19 assure you what you will get will not be pro forma, it</p> <p>20 will be far from it.</p> <p>21 We have really done, I think, a good job of</p> <p>22 trying to cut though as many of these claims, and as</p> <p>23 Ms. O'Dell alluded to, downgrade documents where there</p> <p>24 is no risk of waiver, and we think they might fall into</p> <p>25 gray areas.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 45</p> <p>1 in that letter. Other depositions we have agreed on.</p> <p>2 Many of them we are coordinating on dates.</p> <p>3 There is really one in particular that we</p> <p>4 just wanted to raise with Your Honor, because it raises</p> <p>5 significant issues that we believe Your Honor ought to</p> <p>6 be addressing.</p> <p>7 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: This is</p> <p>8 Mr. O'Shaughnessy?</p> <p>9 MR. BERNARDO: This is Mr. O'Shaughnessy, is</p> <p>10 a former assistant general counsel.</p> <p>11 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Right.</p> <p>12 The plaintiff says the judge in Missouri</p> <p>13 already ordered that deposition to go forward. And</p> <p>14 if -- are you -- plaintiff, I take it you are not</p> <p>15 seeking to take the deposition in this case, are you?</p> <p>16 MR. TISI: Yeah, Your Honor, let me -- let</p> <p>17 me -- oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead, Leigh.</p> <p>18 MS. O'DELL: No, no.</p> <p>19 MR. TISI: No, no, no. Go ahead. Go ahead.</p> <p>20 I'm sorry, I thought this was my issue, but I...</p> <p>21 MS. O'DELL: It is -- it is your issue. I</p> <p>22 can't keep my mouth shut, Chris, I'm sorry.</p> <p>23 MR. BERNARDO: I will be happy to take it,</p> <p>24 but I don't think...</p> <p>25 MS. PARFITT: Thank you, Rich, but we</p>

Pages 42 to 45

March 31, 2021

Page 46	Page 48
<p>1 appreciate it.</p> <p>2 MR. BERNARDO: I was just volunteering.</p> <p>3 MR. TISI: So -- Judge, this falls within</p> <p>4 the category of, you know, the fact that -- let me just</p> <p>5 back up and say that over -- I want to say about four</p> <p>6 or five thousand non-privileged documents have been</p> <p>7 produced in this case that have Mr. O'Shaughnessy's</p> <p>8 name on it. So clearly he has some factual information</p> <p>9 that is not privileged based on -- based at least</p> <p>10 on --</p> <p>11 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Could I -- I know</p> <p>12 Mr. Bernardo has to leave. So let's get to the crux of</p> <p>13 this question.</p> <p>14 MR. TISI: All right. So --</p> <p>15 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Is the deposition</p> <p>16 of Mr. O'Shaughnessy cross noticed in this case?</p> <p>17 MR. TISI: It will be.</p> <p>18 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. Then the</p> <p>19 defendants have standing to move to quash it for use in</p> <p>20 this case, don't they?</p> <p>21 MR. TISI: I think that they -- I think that</p> <p>22 they do.</p> <p>23 What they don't have, which is what Mr.</p> <p>24 Bernardo and I talked about yesterday, is they are</p> <p>25 seeking to have the question of whether or not this</p>	<p>1 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: What can we do --</p> <p>2 what can we do about the Missouri state court order?</p> <p>3 MR. BERNARDO: It's very simple. And we</p> <p>4 accomplished this in the state court proceeding as</p> <p>5 well.</p> <p>6 I mean, the starting point, Your Honor, is we</p> <p>7 believe, given this deposition, the issue of whether it</p> <p>8 goes forward ought to be decided by this court. And if</p> <p>9 it does go forward -- and I'll explain how --</p> <p>10 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: But the Missouri</p> <p>11 court already decided it.</p> <p>12 MR. BERNARDO: But that -- Your Honor, I</p> <p>13 think -- I think there is an important point that's</p> <p>14 being missed here. This is part of the uniform</p> <p>15 subpoena act, et cetera. And the only thing that the</p> <p>16 Missouri court did was authorize the issuance of a</p> <p>17 subpoena, which was issued in a Pennsylvania court that</p> <p>18 has no connection whatsoever to this litigation.</p> <p>19 So the issue of whether the deposition will</p> <p>20 go forward or not is going to be decided, at the</p> <p>21 moment, by a state court judge randomly assigned. And</p> <p>22 pursuant to that statute, the deposition and objections</p> <p>23 to it and privilege rulings that will be impacting this</p> <p>24 court would be ruled by a randomly-assigned judge in</p> <p>25 Pennsylvania.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 47</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 49</p>
<p>1 deposition goes in the first place, removed from a</p> <p>2 state court judge, which we don't think is appropriate.</p> <p>3 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So how can I do</p> <p>4 that? How can I do that?</p> <p>5 MR. TISI: Your Honor -- Your Honor, I don't</p> <p>6 think you can. I don't think you can.</p> <p>7 So really where we are here, Judge, if I can</p> <p>8 frame the issue is, we have a -- we have a Missouri</p> <p>9 judge who has ordered the deposition. He has been</p> <p>10 served in this case. The judge has already concluded</p> <p>11 that he has relevant information to provide.</p> <p>12 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay.</p> <p>13 MR. TISI: The question is whether or not</p> <p>14 there is a cross notice.</p> <p>15 Now, he was just served yesterday, so there</p> <p>16 is no cross notice yet, but there will be. So the</p> <p>17 question is --</p> <p>18 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. So then we</p> <p>19 can tee up the objection in this case.</p> <p>20 MR. TISI: For the cross notice. Correct.</p> <p>21 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Now, Mr. Bernardo,</p> <p>22 do you think we have the power to tell the Missouri</p> <p>23 state judge that he or she has to -- you know what I'm</p> <p>24 trying to say.</p> <p>25 MR. BERNARDO: Your Honor, let me --</p>	<p>1 It is our position that this court ought to</p> <p>2 make those decisions. And there is a very simple and</p> <p>3 elegant way in which that can be accomplished. And</p> <p>4 this is exactly what was done in New Jersey state</p> <p>5 proceeding, which was the potential deponent,</p> <p>6 Mr. O'Shaughnessy, has agreed to accept service in</p> <p>7 New Jersey of a New Jersey subpoena, properly executed,</p> <p>8 and will not contest the jurisdiction of the court to</p> <p>9 rule on, one, whether the deposition goes forward, and</p> <p>10 if it does; two, to have it take place in New Jersey.</p> <p>11 This is how we did it in the state</p> <p>12 mesothelioma case, for the very important reason that</p> <p>13 having -- in that instance, I believe it was a criminal</p> <p>14 judge in Bucks County who was going to be calling balls</p> <p>15 and strikes if the deposition were going to go forward</p> <p>16 on privilege issues.</p> <p>17 And Your Honor is already going to be</p> <p>18 addressing these privilege issues in connection with</p> <p>19 the documents.</p> <p>20 So it's defendants' position that it just</p> <p>21 makes sense for this court to be adjudicating this, not</p> <p>22 for a randomly-assigned judge in Pennsylvania.</p> <p>23 And as I just offered, I think there is an</p> <p>24 easy way for that to be accomplished. And to satisfy</p> <p>25 everybody and do this -- if it's going -- if we lose on</p>

Pages 46 to 49

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 50</p> <p>1 our motion to quash to have it proceed in this court to 2 be coordinated for all of the other ovarian cancer 3 actions rather than to have it, you know, be done again 4 in the state court.</p> <p>5 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: The only thing, 6 when you say there is an easy way to do it, Mr. 7 Bernardo, the only easy way that I can think of is if 8 the parties consent to have this court decide the 9 issue. What is the easy -- easy solution that you are 10 referring to?</p> <p>11 MR. BERNARDO: Is simply what was done in 12 New Jersey. Because the parties can't, as a matter of 13 jurisdiction, consent to have it adjudicated here. 14 Because if it's issued in Pennsylvania pursuant to 15 their laws, the deposition takes place in Pennsylvania 16 pursuant to Pennsylvania laws. So it would have to be 17 done pursuant to a New Jersey subpoena.</p> <p>18 I mean, we are willing to consent to that, 19 and we are willing, through the parties' lawyer who we 20 coordinated with, to have the witness consent to have 21 this court adjudicate whether the deposition goes 22 forward; and if it does, to adjudicate the objections.</p> <p>23 We can't just do it through -- we can't just 24 do it through consent as far as we read the law, Your 25 Honor, just because of the way the Uniform Deposition</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 52</p> <p>1 happened. No, that's not the way it worked, Chris. 2 MR. TISI: Chris, let me -- let me finish 3 what I'm saying here, because... 4 The question of -- if the judge in 5 Pennsylvania agrees that the subpoena goes forward -- 6 Judge Burlison in Missouri has already decided that the 7 deposition goes forward. It doesn't come to Your 8 Honor. It doesn't come to Judge Wolfson in any event. 9 It would go to that judge who ordered the deposition in 10 the first place.</p> <p>11 The third point I would make that is really 12 important in this case is, this wasn't just an order 13 that was issued pro forma under the -- under the Act. 14 J&J went to Judge Burlison, as best as I know, I wasn't 15 part of the proceedings, asked for reconsideration. 16 The judge actually issued an order specifically 17 considering the question of whether or not 18 Mr. O'Shaughnessy should -- his deposition should be 19 taken; and he affirmatively on reconsideration decided 20 that it should.</p> <p>21 So the question about where this goes, it 22 goes back to Judge Burlison. In New Jersey it would go 23 to Judge Visconti, and that's why procedurally it 24 happened that way.</p> <p>25 If I'm incorrect, Chris, please feel free.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 51</p> <p>1 Subpoena Act confers jurisdiction on Pennsylvania. 2 MR. TISI: First of all, Judge, let me be 3 clear. I'm pretty sure -- and I could be wrong about 4 this, but I'm pretty sure that this is not being done 5 pursuant to the Uniform Deposition Act. I don't think 6 that Missouri is part of that.</p> <p>7 But putting that issue aside for the moment, 8 we can deal with that question, there is a big material 9 difference. And even Rich and I spent some time with 10 this yesterday. There is a big material difference 11 between what is going on in the state court in New 12 Jersey with Judge Visconti and with what is going on 13 here.</p> <p>14 In that case, okay, you had a New Jersey 15 mesothelioma case, where the New Jersey lawyers went to 16 Pennsylvania to serve Mr. O'Shaughnessy with a dep -- 17 with a subpoena.</p> <p>18 The question of whether or not that 19 deposition goes forward ultimately is decided by the 20 court in New Jersey. You serve a subpoena, the 21 Pennsylvania -- the Pennsylvania judge puts a stamp on 22 it or doesn't. Mr. O'Shaughnessy is served. Motion to 23 quash is filed in New Jersey and Judge Visconti decides 24 the question. Here it's a very different --</p> <p>25 MR. PLACITELLA: That's not actually what</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 53</p> <p>1 MR. PLACITELLA: Well, there's one -- 2 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Do you have a date 3 for Mr. O'Shaughnessy's deposition?</p> <p>4 MR. TISI: It's -- right now -- he was served 5 yesterday in the Missouri matter, as best as I know.</p> <p>6 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So there is no 7 date certain now when he's going to be deposed?</p> <p>8 MR. TISI: No. I anticipate that J&J will 9 file, J&J or Mr. O'Shaughnessy, one and the same, will 10 be filing a motion to quash in Pennsylvania.</p> <p>11 If that should be -- if that should be 12 granted, then we are in a position where the only place 13 it could occur is here. If it should be denied, the 14 deposition goes forward and has to be set in the 15 Forrest case.</p> <p>16 And then sole question for Your Honor is 17 whether or not it gets cross noticed here.</p> <p>18 MR. PLACITELLA: So Your Honor, if I may on 19 procedure, because I've been in -- knowledge about 20 both, but not in both -- in either case, actually, but 21 I've been present.</p> <p>22 In New Jersey the subpoena was issued to 23 Mr. O'Shaughnessy originally in Pennsylvania.</p> <p>24 A motion to quash was filed in Pennsylvania. 25 It was actually briefed. And then the parties in New</p>

Pages 50 to 53

Page 54	Page 56
<p>1 Jersey agreed to have Judge Visconti ultimately rule on 2 a subpoena that was subsequently issued in New Jersey 3 and took it outside the jurisdiction of Pennsylvania.</p> <p>4 What we have, from what I understand, in 5 Missouri, is you have a circumstance where whether it 6 should go forward was fought in Missouri. The subpoena 7 is then subsequently served in Pennsylvania. I 8 anticipate J&J will file their motion to quash in 9 Pennsylvania. Will be fought out there. And should 10 the motion to quash not be successful, it was my 11 understanding that the intent of the court in Missouri 12 was to preside over that deposition and make rulings as 13 that deposition went forward.</p> <p>14 MR. BERMAN: If I can make a practical 15 suggestion here? Since -- I will start by saying, I'm 16 not a Pennsylvania lawyer, Your Honor. I have spoken 17 to enough Pennsylvania lawyers in connection with this 18 last subpoena to feel as if I at least understand this 19 process.</p> <p>20 What I would suggest is maybe we submit a 21 very short letter brief in the next couple of days. 22 We'll make our -- Mr. O'Shaughnessy, he has his own 23 separate counsel. And, obviously, J&J would be moving 24 on behalf of J&J.</p> <p>25 Happy to explain why what Mr. Placitella is</p>	<p>1 think there is an easy way of procedurally having this 2 appear before your court -- before Your Honor. 3 And if plaintiffs disagree that it should be 4 before Your Honor, we can talk about that separately, 5 but I think it makes sense to be before Your Honor.</p> <p>6 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: There doesn't seem 7 to be a dispute, and there can't be, that if the 8 deposition is cross noticed in the MDL, that this court 9 has jurisdiction to deal with the question of whether 10 or not the MDL deposition of O'Shaughnessy should be 11 quashed.</p> <p>12 The stickler is whether this court has 13 jurisdiction to upset somehow the decision that has 14 already been made by the Missouri court, right?</p> <p>15 MR. TISI: Correct. And to compare the 16 analogy, Rich's analogy further, I think Judge Wolfson 17 understood that when she was dealing with the 18 arguments.</p> <p>19 She understood, as best as I understand, that 20 a state court judge could decide that a particular 21 document is unprivileged, it is not privileged 22 according to Missouri law, and she also understood that 23 Your Honor may make a different decision.</p> <p>24 Her remedy was it doesn't get used in this 25 court.</p>
Page 55	Page 57
<p>1 saying, at least in our understanding of the law, is 2 incorrect. In other words, that if the subpoena is 3 issued in Pennsylvania, that the Pennsylvania court 4 would be the one to decide whether or not it goes 5 forward. And once that is decided, that it would get 6 adjudicated in Pennsylvania. And we think it ought to 7 be adjudicated before Your Honor.</p> <p>8 If we are wrong about that -- and our intent 9 simply is -- and I wouldn't understand why plaintiffs 10 would object to that -- is that if it goes forward, 11 Your Honor is the one to decide issues with respect to 12 the scope of privilege of that deposition, because 13 there is no question but that that deposition, if it 14 goes forward, would be used by the people whom you are 15 looking at on this phone call on the plaintiffs' side 16 in this case.</p> <p>17 And apropos of Judge Wolfson's admonition of 18 privilege issues and how they get decided, they can't 19 unlearn what they learn at a deposition because they 20 are taking it in another case.</p> <p>21 So we just -- if it's going to go forward, 22 it's obviously an important deposition, and we think it 23 really ought to get decided here.</p> <p>24 So happy to submit a very short explanation 25 of why we think the procedure is what it is and why we</p>	<p>1 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: But why don't we 2 do this, Mr. Tisi, why don't we do this. 3 Why don't we give the plaintiffs a deadline, 4 if they are going to cross notice, this is the 5 drop-dead date. If you're going to do it, do it by 6 this date; and if you don't do it, you can't take the 7 deposition in connection with this case.</p> <p>8 Presumably you will cross notice. J&J files 9 its request to quash. Plaintiff responds. I'll decide 10 in the first instance the MDL issue.</p> <p>11 I don't see how I have jurisdiction over the 12 Missouri court.</p> <p>13 MR. TISI: Respectfully, I think you are 14 probably right in that.</p> <p>15 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So here's a 16 question for you. I don't know the answer to this. 17 Suppose, hypothetically, just purely hypothetically, 18 the deposition is just taken with a caption from 19 Missouri. You get to trial in the six cases. Can that 20 deposition from Missouri be used in this case?</p> <p>21 MR. TISI: We think -- we think that it 22 should be. And, you know, obviously there are 23 questions there. But that's ultimately a decision that 24 gets taken down the road. I mean...</p> <p>25 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: But isn't that</p>

Pages 54 to 57

March 31, 2021

Page 58	Page 60
<p>1 getting through the back door what you can get through 2 the front door?</p> <p>3 MR. BERNARDO: I think Your Honor hit the 4 nail on the head. That's exactly what's happening. It 5 is going through the back door.</p> <p>6 It goes back to Judge Wolfson's comment. And 7 I think she specifically raised the challenge created 8 by depositions. And to be clear, I think, if this 9 deposition were to go forward, I would expect that 10 after the witness were to state his name for the 11 record, the response to the first question would 12 probably be a instruction not to answer on the grounds 13 of attorney-client privilege. That's going to have to 14 get adjudicated, because he's the in-house counsel and 15 his responsibility was litigation.</p> <p>16 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Mr. Bernardo, in 17 view of the direction that Judge Wolfson already gave, 18 purely hypothetical, cross notice. The deposition of 19 O'Shaughnessy is quashed in the MDL. The deposition is 20 taken in Missouri.</p> <p>21 Do you think Judge Wolfson is going to let 22 that deposition from Missouri come into this case if 23 this court, if this court, me, or the magistrate or 24 Judge Wolfson, decided that the deposition of 25 O'Shaughnessy should be quashed?</p>	<p>1 with it.</p> <p>2 To me, I think that we are never going to get 3 there, frankly, because we are going to cross notice 4 it. If it -- if the judge in Pennsylvania allows it to 5 go forward and you are going to deal with it; or if the 6 judge in Pennsylvania doesn't allow it to go forward, 7 then it will be before you on a direct notice. So...</p> <p>8 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Mr. Placitella, 9 why do we have to wait for the Pennsylvania court to 10 decide? Why can't I just say, if you are going to 11 cross notice it, do it within a week. We'll tee up the 12 issue in this MDL and get it decided. Why do we have 13 to wait for the Pennsylvania court to say whether or 14 not --</p> <p>15 MR. PLACITELLA: Why am I going to cross 16 notice a deposition that is not going forward?</p> <p>17 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Right now it's 18 go -- but until it's -- until it's quashed it's --</p> <p>19 MR. PLACITELLA: Until they quash the 20 deposition. They've said it in Pennsylvania. From 21 their perspective it should not go forward.</p> <p>22 So how can you tee up whether it should go 23 forward here if they are fighting it in Pennsylvania? 24 If in Pennsylvania they win, the issue is moot.</p> <p>25 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I respectfully</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 59</p> <p>1 MR. PLACITELLA: Well, can I respond to that 2 actually?</p> <p>3 So there is -- there is a misstep here, I 4 think. And that is the Pennsylvania court, they are 5 going to move to quash. If the Pennsylvania court 6 quashes the dep in Pennsylvania, then there is nothing 7 to cross notice, right?</p> <p>8 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Right. That's 9 exactly right. That's exactly right.</p> <p>10 MR. PLACITELLA: So it's not yet ripe.</p> <p>11 Then we come to the point do we want to take 12 the deposition or wait. The plaintiffs want to take 13 the deposition in the MDL. It would be a separate 14 notice which they say Mr. O'Shaughnessy will accept.</p> <p>15 And at that point you would make a determination.</p> <p>16 The one thing I probably disagree with on a 17 theoretical basis, a deposition taken in another state, 18 even given Judge Wolfson's statements, does become like 19 a document. If information in that deposition turns 20 out to be privileged, well then it won't come in here.</p> <p>21 That will be a -- you would look -- you would rule on 22 that like you would rule on any other document.</p> <p>23 But if there is information in that 24 deposition that is not privileged, well then the court 25 can confront it at that time about how you want to deal</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 61</p> <p>1 disagree.</p> <p>2 I don't we need to wait -- do we know when 3 the Pennsylvania court is going to decide it?</p> <p>4 MR. BERNARDO: The motion hasn't even been 5 filed, Your Honor. And given our experience in the 6 last iteration of this, my guess is it would probably 7 be a number of weeks before there is any decision.</p> <p>8 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: My goal is to get 9 you to the finish line. So let's tee up the issue 10 sooner rather than later.</p> <p>11 MR. BERNARDO: Well, and Your Honor, but this 12 is -- this is exactly what we were proposing. We just 13 were suggesting that the easy way to do it is to 14 withdraw that subpoena. Serve it. Have it occur at 15 the MDL and then the deposition happens and it get 16 coordinated.</p> <p>17 I'm not sure why the resistance to having 18 Your Honor deal with it --</p> <p>19 MR. TISI: Okay. Let me be -- let me be 20 clear -- let me be clear, Judge, if I can say this.</p> <p>21 The plaintiffs in the Missouri case have 22 every right to pursue the discovery that they feel they 23 need to have for those cases.</p> <p>24 For Mr. Bernardo to assume that we have the 25 same interest, that they are exactly a co-existing, is</p>

Pages 58 to 61

Page 62	Page 64
<p>1 very presumptuous. There are 20,000 -- 25,000 women 2 involved in this litigation.</p> <p>3 So what happens is, what is done in that 4 case -- and I think you had it exactly right -- what is 5 done in that case, that judge will rule on -- that 6 judge already ruled that it goes forward, assuming the 7 Pennsylvania court agrees. He is then going to have to 8 decide -- he has appointed a special master who will 9 preside over that deposition and the rulings on 10 privilege as it goes forward.</p> <p>11 Ultimately, that judge will decide what comes 12 into his courtroom.</p> <p>13 If we have a circumstance where we get -- 14 where we get -- where it's cross noticed, Your Honor 15 and Judge Wolfson will have the same responsibility.</p> <p>16 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Right, right.</p> <p>17 MR. TISI: And the reason why it's not 18 necessarily -- the reason why it is not necessarily -- 19 we need to do it on a fast track here is for two 20 reasons: No. 1, there are -- this isn't a situation 21 where the plaintiffs, as best as I know -- because I 22 have looked at the documents that have been produced. 23 We have -- start to take the deposition of Mr. Bernardo 24 where we have no documents. They have already produced 25 in this case approximately fifteen hundred documents</p>	<p>1 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Let's -- let's get 2 it teed up and decided. That will -- that will give 3 us -- I mean, is the objection to O'Shaughnessy, Mr. 4 Bernardo, is it, one, all of what he has to say is 5 privileged; two, it's irrelevant; or three, 6 proportionality under Rule 26?</p> <p>7 MR. BERNARDO: You generally have it. It is 8 a little bit more nuanced than that, but I think you hit 9 the primary points, yes.</p> <p>10 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay, it's all 11 three. Okay.</p> <p>12 MR. BERNARDO: And I think your suggestion is 13 appropriate. And I think we'll proceed to file papers 14 and so has counsel in Pennsylvania.</p> <p>15 By we may, after we further talk, and I'll 16 talk to Mr. Tisi, we may come back to you if there's 17 any procedural obstacles to achieving that way in light 18 of like you told us.</p> <p>19 I was just trying to propose what I thought 20 was a simple solution to accomplish the very same 21 thing, but we are fine with Your Honor's suggestion.</p> <p>22 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: If the parties 23 agree on a procedure, I'm all for it. I'm happy. But 24 I think it's a little uphill battle for you to say -- 25 my instinct is, for you to say to plaintiffs -- they</p>
<p>1 from Mr. O'Shaughnessy, substantive documents, okay, 2 where -- where he is -- even they agree, that there is 3 no privilege for those documents, okay.</p> <p>4 So for those -- even if --</p> <p>5 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Yeah, but doesn't 6 mean -- one, it doesn't mean it's relevant and it 7 doesn't mean it's proportional.</p> <p>8 MR. TISI: Right. But relevant -- relevancy 9 gets decided obviously down the road.</p> <p>10 The second issue is, we already discussed 11 with Your Honor a process to tee up privileged 12 questions to Your Honor which will presumably, I can 13 guarantee you, will involve documents where 14 Mr. O'Shaughnessy is actually --</p> <p>15 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Here's my 16 suggestion. Here's my suggestion.</p> <p>17 If plaintiffs are going to cross notice 18 O'Shaughnessy in this case, do it by April 9. 19 Defendants file their objection by April 23rd. And 20 plaintiffs respond by May 5th. Same schedule as the 21 privilege documents, right?</p> <p>22 This way we will tee up -- I don't think we 23 have to wait for the Pennsylvania court to rule to tee 24 up the issue in this MDL.</p> <p>25 MR. TISI: Okay.</p>	<p>1 already have an order from the court in Missouri that 2 says they can take this deposition. You are asking the 3 plaintiffs to say, put that aside and let's redo the 4 issue in the case. That's a heavy lift.</p> <p>5 MR. BERNARDO: Yeah, I'm not sure I agree 6 it's exactly that, but I take your point, Your Honor.</p> <p>7 But I think the way Your Honor suggested 8 proceeding can work. We'll take a look and talk to 9 Pennsylvania lawyers and figure out if it raises any 10 issues with respect to that particular subpoena. And 11 if it does, we can come and revisit with Your Honor.</p> <p>12 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Mr. Placitella, is 13 this that same issue of O'Shaughnessy's dep before 14 Judge Visconti?</p> <p>15 MR. PLACITELLA: Yes.</p> <p>16 The issue -- the issue is before Judge 17 Visconti. But right now I think they have, if I recall, 18 somebody told me it was April 15th or something that 19 they have briefs due.</p> <p>20 But yes, it is. The issues there are 21 probably more narrow, because that focuses, you know, 22 that is about mesothelioma and asbestos. Here the 23 issues are broader. They do overlap for sure.</p> <p>24 But, you know, because of the issues here, 25 asbestos is not the only issue. And there is certainly</p>

March 31, 2021

Page 66	Page 68
<p>1 overlap.</p> <p>2 The Court should be aware -- the Court should</p> <p>3 be aware that I was on the phone, and Johnson & Johnson</p> <p>4 asked Judge Viscomi to, you know, coordinate this with</p> <p>5 the federal court or Missouri, and Judge Viscomi said,</p> <p>6 no, these are mesothelioma cases. They are here. I'm</p> <p>7 going to deal with it, and I'm going to move forward on</p> <p>8 my docket and my timeline.</p> <p>9 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Do we have to deal</p> <p>10 with the -- Ms. Sharko had mentioned in her letter,</p> <p>11 Mr. McKeegan and Ms. Goodrich, that's one issue. And</p> <p>12 then I consider this a separate issue, the Mr. Gorski</p> <p>13 issue.</p> <p>14 MR. BERNARDO: So Your Honor, I'll address</p> <p>15 those. The McKeegan and Goodrich issues are not yet</p> <p>16 ripe. I think they are going to be the same type of</p> <p>17 issues we are talking about here. But I think if we</p> <p>18 establish something with respect to Mr. O'Shaughnessy,</p> <p>19 that will probably address those.</p> <p>20 With respect to the Gorski issue that is</p> <p>21 something that I think we would like to discuss with</p> <p>22 Your Honor at a subsequent discussion. We really</p> <p>23 wanted to put it on the table because it is a</p> <p>24 deposition that we see getting increasingly asked for</p> <p>25 in both ovarian and mesothelioma cases. And we just</p>	<p>1 MR. BERNARDO: Again, Your Honor, I think</p> <p>2 this is an issue we can discuss it more. And it was</p> <p>3 really to bring it to your attention as something that</p> <p>4 is on the radar.</p> <p>5 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: If that's not</p> <p>6 ripe, than that's fine. No problem.</p> <p>7 MR. BERNARDO: And I apologize. I'm probably</p> <p>8 at very close risk in losing my appointment. So I</p> <p>9 wanted to be sure I addressed this. So I'm going to --</p> <p>10 to leave.</p> <p>11 Thank you, Your Honor.</p> <p>12 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. I have a</p> <p>13 suggestion in terms of scheduling. We have, according</p> <p>14 to the schedule, the next call with Judge Wolfson, I</p> <p>15 think, is on May 15th.</p> <p>16 Do I have that right?</p> <p>17 MS. PARFITT: It's May the 12th, Your Honor.</p> <p>18 MS. SHARKO: May 12th.</p> <p>19 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: May 12th, okay.</p> <p>20 MS. PARFITT: It's at 4 o'clock.</p> <p>21 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So why don't we</p> <p>22 put another call with me at 4 o'clock. And we'll -- if</p> <p>23 need be, we will have oral argument on those</p> <p>24 applications that date. All right?</p> <p>25 MS. PARFITT: Thank you, Your Honor.</p>
Page 67	Page 69
<p>1 want to achieve some level of coordinate considering --</p> <p>2 I'm not sure there is an apex that's any more apex than</p> <p>3 the CEO of a company like that.</p> <p>4 And so we just wanted to put it in there</p> <p>5 really to put that on Your Honor's radar, if you will.</p> <p>6 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Are the plaintiffs</p> <p>7 going to notice Gorski in this case?</p> <p>8 MR. TISI: I don't even -- honestly, Judge,</p> <p>9 and other people on this call may know other than</p> <p>10 myself. I don't even know who requested Mr. Gorski's</p> <p>11 deposition.</p> <p>12 But pursuant to CMO No. 11, you know, we</p> <p>13 would -- if it was an ovarian cancer case, we would try</p> <p>14 to coordinate, yeah, we would.</p> <p>15 But I don't -- I don't know anything -- until</p> <p>16 I got Ms. Sharko's letter yesterday, I don't think -- I</p> <p>17 know I spoke to Ms. Parfitt and Ms. O'Dell this</p> <p>18 morning, none of us knew anything about a request for</p> <p>19 Mr. Gorski, and I spoke to Rich Bernardo yesterday and</p> <p>20 it didn't come up. So I don't know anything about it.</p> <p>21 MR. PLACITELLA: Yeah, the one thing I would</p> <p>22 say in terms of apex, they are all apex. In the last</p> <p>23 case I tried, Mr. Gorski took the witness stand and the</p> <p>24 issue went all the way to the New Jersey Supreme Court,</p> <p>25 and he was forced to do testify.</p>	<p>1 MS. SHARKO: Okay.</p> <p>2 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: The other thought</p> <p>3 I had was -- and I appreciate your input on that.</p> <p>4 Going back to the beginning of this call, I</p> <p>5 think it's important that you straighten out the</p> <p>6 schedule, because I think that's something Judge</p> <p>7 Wolfson would want to straighten out, too, this issue</p> <p>8 about whether depositions are going to continue after</p> <p>9 the current fact date and expert reports and this and</p> <p>10 that.</p> <p>11 Hopefully, you will come to some sort of</p> <p>12 agreement and understanding on that.</p> <p>13 Why don't we tentatively schedule a call in,</p> <p>14 say, like two weeks to talk about the schedule, because</p> <p>15 if you are going to propose a change to Judge Wolfson,</p> <p>16 I can't decide it, but you could -- we could discuss it</p> <p>17 on the phone and then you can send your letter to Judge</p> <p>18 Wolfson, say we discussed it, blah, blah, blah. But I</p> <p>19 would rather get that scheduling issue firmed up before</p> <p>20 May 15th, right? It's in your best interest.</p> <p>21 MS. O'DELL: Yes.</p> <p>22 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I agree with you</p> <p>23 wholeheartedly, you ought to keep the date for the</p> <p>24 bellwether trials in early or the spring of 2022.</p> <p>25 I think it's feasible to adjust some of the</p>

Pages 66 to 69

March 31, 2021

Page 70	Page 72
<p>1 other deadlines and still keep that bellwether trial. 2 MS. SHARKO: We really -- we really do not 3 want to adjust the schedule that we have. But I think 4 instead, it sounds like Your Honor is suggesting that 5 we consider putting some additional deadlines in there. 6 So we'll meet and confer and...</p> <p>7 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: I think if 8 you're -- there is a consensus on your part, then 9 that's fine. That you were just talking about friends 10 and family and doctors for the current date. But you 11 know there's going to be other issues, third parties 12 potentially, some either individual or corporate 13 additional J&J deps. So you need a time frame for 14 that, if those are going to go forward. You ought to 15 discuss what that ought to be. Let's firm up the 16 schedule, so there is no misunderstanding.</p> <p>17 You know, my goal is to keep you on that 18 schedule to try that case in early -- as early as 19 possible in 2022. And I think that's Judge Wolfson's 20 goal, too.</p> <p>21 MS. SHARKO: How about if we report back to 22 Your Honor on April 22nd?</p> <p>23 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Let me look at my 24 calendar. April 22nd is...</p> <p>25 MS. SHARKO: A Thursday.</p>	<p>1 mountain time, so... 2 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: How about 3 4 o'clock, Ms. Parfitt? 4 MR. TISI: Yeah, I -- so 4 o'clock would be 5 fine. 6 MS. PARFITT: Perfectly fine. 7 MR. TISI: But that might be too -- yes. 8 MR. LAPINSKI: That's April 22nd? 9 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Tentatively, in 10 pencil, Mr. Lapinski. We may not need that call. 11 MR. LAPINSKI: And that's the call just to 12 adjust -- just to adjust the scheduling, if necessary, 13 correct? 14 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Exactly. 15 MR. LAPINSKI: A question that I have on 16 that, Your Honor, is for the purposes of just these 17 six -- these six bellwether cases, why wouldn't we make 18 a discovery end date December 3rd that aligns with the 19 last date for any defendant expert depositions? 20 MS. SHARKO: I think it's something we should 21 all meet and confer on. We haven't thought this 22 through or talked about it. Hopefully, we can resolve 23 it. We have resolved almost everything else and that 24 gives us enough time to do it. 25 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Okay. So let me</p>
<p>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Page 71</p> <p>1 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So today -- today 2 is the 31st. 3 Ms. Sharko, why don't we pencil in a call or 4 a Zoom. It can always be canceled. And let me know 5 by, say, the 20th what's going on. By the 20th, have a 6 proposal on how you agree to adjust, if at all, the 7 schedule. 8 We'll tentatively schedule a Zoom on the 22nd 9 at, let's say, three, but if need be, we'll just cancel 10 it. And then we -- 11 MS. SHARKO: That's good for us. 12 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: And then we, on 13 the 12th at 4 o'clock, we'll have oral argument on the 14 two issues you are going to brief with the proviso that 15 Mr. Bernardo and I discussed that after April 9th we 16 may set up a phone call to change that proceeding, 17 depending on what the parties propose. 18 MS. PARFITT: And Judge Schneider, would 2 19 o'clock by any chance or four -- I have a standing 20 3 o'clock on Thursday. 21 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: No problem. 22 MS. PARFITT: Would that work for others? 23 MR. TISI: I'm sorry, my only issue is I have 24 Mr. Bernardo's issue. My second COVID shot is at 25 4 o'clock -- or actually 2 o'clock your time. I'm on</p>	<p>1 sum up where we are. We'll have the same schedule for 2 the privilege issues and for the O'Shaughnessy issue. 3 On the privilege issue by April 9, plaintiff 4 is going to identify the disputed documents. By 5 April 23rd defendant is going to submit its brief and 6 supporting affidavits in support of its defense, 7 objection. Plaintiff responds by May 5th. And then 8 hopefully your oral argument on May 15th at four. 9 With regard to the O'Shaughnessy issue, 10 plaintiffs have until April 9 to co-designate. If they 11 don't co-designate, then they can't take his deposition 12 in connection with this case. Presumably they will. 13 Defendants move to quash on April 23rd. 14 Plaintiffs respond May 5th. Oral argument May 12th at 15 four. 16 And by April 20, the parties will inform me 17 or the court about what they propose with regard to the 18 schedule. We'll pencil in a Zoom or a call on 19 April 22nd at four with the understanding that that may 20 be postponed. 21 And then lastly, that all this is without 22 prejudice, if after the April 9th letter from plaintiff 23 about the privilege documents and the co-designation, 24 if the parties think a phone call would help advance 25 the ball, let us know, and we'll set it up quickly and</p>

Pages 70 to 73

March 31, 2021

Page 74	Page 76
<p>1 maybe we'll avoid a lot of this.</p> <p>2 MR. LAPINSKI: Your Honor, the only thing</p> <p>3 that I'll bring up is everything that you just said, is</p> <p>4 just I want to confirm, it's May 12th at 4 o'clock that</p> <p>5 we are having our next call.</p> <p>6 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Yeah, I'm sorry</p> <p>7 about that. I misspoke.</p> <p>8 Okay. I think that's a good plan.</p> <p>9 My thought is unless Judge Wolfson tells me</p> <p>10 differently, is to make sure that everything is ready,</p> <p>11 done, by the deadlines in the order, most importantly</p> <p>12 to get you to trial in early 2022 on the bellwethers.</p> <p>13 I assume you will let her know about you've</p> <p>14 agreed on the six bellwethers, but I don't know.</p> <p>15 MS. PARFITT: She's been notified, Your</p> <p>16 Honor, of those. Yes.</p> <p>17 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Great. Terrific.</p> <p>18 MR. TISI: Judge, one clarification point.</p> <p>19 And I just want to make sure I understand.</p> <p>20 If the Pennsylvania judge has not yet ruled</p> <p>21 so there is no -- we don't have a deposition to cross</p> <p>22 notice, you still want our intent to cross notice on</p> <p>23 this?</p> <p>24 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: No, you do have a</p> <p>25 deposition to cross notice. Because until it is</p>	<p>1 MR. TISI: No, not yet. It can't be noticed</p> <p>2 until -- until the subpoena has been authorized under</p> <p>3 the State Law of Pennsylvania. That's the question</p> <p>4 mark that I have.</p> <p>5 And you know, I don't know if Mr. Berman, and</p> <p>6 I know we have Pennsylvania lawyers here, want to weigh</p> <p>7 in on it.</p> <p>8 But as I understand it procedurally, there is</p> <p>9 nothing to cross notice until a subpoena is issued out</p> <p>10 of the court in Pennsylvania.</p> <p>11 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: But suppose this</p> <p>12 is a normal case -- this is not a normal case, right?</p> <p>13 No subpoenas. No notices of deposition. We get to a</p> <p>14 conference.</p> <p>15 The defendant -- the plaintiff says to the</p> <p>16 defendant, I want to depose Jane Doe. Do I have to</p> <p>17 wait until a formal notice or subpoena is issued to</p> <p>18 rule on that issue? Or can I say, send me your briefs,</p> <p>19 and I'll decide whether you can go forward? I don't</p> <p>20 think I need to wait.</p> <p>21 MR. TISI: I agree. I agree.</p> <p>22 MR. PLACITELLA: I think it's probably form</p> <p>23 over substance at this point, because I thought he was</p> <p>24 served with a stamped subpoena. Maybe I'm wrong, but I</p> <p>25 thought he was served at this point.</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 75</p> <p>1 quashed it is alive, isn't it? Right?</p> <p>2 MR. TISI: I think -- I think procedurally,</p> <p>3 as I understand it -- and I'm not a Pennsylvania</p> <p>4 lawyer -- until that subpoena -- as I understand the</p> <p>5 process, what Judge Burlison in Missouri has done, is</p> <p>6 he has ordered a commission to get a subpoena. Until</p> <p>7 there is actually a subpoena that is issued -- we have</p> <p>8 Pennsylvania lawyers here, Mr. Berman -- until there is</p> <p>9 actually a subpoena that's issued out of the court in</p> <p>10 Bucks County, I don't know that that's -- that that</p> <p>11 has the --</p> <p>12 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Why do I care</p> <p>13 about the subpoena? I only care about the notice.</p> <p>14 MR. TISI: I agree. I just wanted to make</p> <p>15 sure --</p> <p>16 MR. PLACITELLA: But it's not a subpoena that</p> <p>17 notice.</p> <p>18 MS. SHARKO: But now we are -- now we are</p> <p>19 rearguing the same issue.</p> <p>20 MR. PLACITELLA: No, no, it's not -- it</p> <p>21 wasn't a subpoena.</p> <p>22 MR. TISI: Right. There is not a subpoena</p> <p>23 until the subpoena is actually stamped by the clerk.</p> <p>24 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: So wasn't there a</p> <p>25 notice of deposition?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 77</p> <p>1 MS. O'DELL: Right, yeah.</p> <p>2 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Yeah, I would</p> <p>3 rather not kick the can down the road. I would rather</p> <p>4 get this issue teed up and decided and deal with it. I</p> <p>5 think that's a pretty straightforward issue.</p> <p>6 The harder issue is Mr. Bernardo's request</p> <p>7 that this court somehow supersede the Missouri court.</p> <p>8 I don't know how you do that.</p> <p>9 MR. LAPINSKI: Yeah, we think that is a</p> <p>10 pretty issue as well.</p> <p>11 MS. SHARKO: Well, wait for our brief. You</p> <p>12 will be impressed.</p> <p>13 MS. PARFITT: That might be a good trailer</p> <p>14 for a movie.</p> <p>15 (Discussion off the record.)</p> <p>16 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Some poor old</p> <p>17 retired magistrate judge is going to upset 225 years of</p> <p>18 constitutional federalism.</p> <p>19 MS. SHARKO: Okay, we are laughing.</p> <p>20 MR. PLACITELLA: All right. Well, Happy</p> <p>21 Easter and happy Passover.</p> <p>22 SPECIAL MASTER SCHNEIDER: Have a good</p> <p>23 holiday, everyone.</p> <p>24 MR. LAPINSKI: Happy holidays to everybody.</p> <p>25 (Deposition adjourned at 5:11 p.m.)</p>

Pages 74 to 77

March 31, 2021

Page 78

1 C E R T I F I C A T E
23 I CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true and
4 accurate transcript of the testimony as taken by and
5 before me stenographically at the time and place
6 aforementioned.7 I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither
8 attorney for nor counsel to any of the parties; parties
9 of any of the attorneys in this action; and that I am
10 not financially interested in the outcome of this case.

11

12

13

14

DocuSigned by:



439DA67C1C71495...

15

HOWARD A. RAPPAPORT, C.C.R

16

Certificate No. XI00416

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 78

schedules@mfreporting.com

Mastroianni & Formaroli, Inc.
Professionals Serving Professionals

856-546-1100

A	40:6 50:13 55:6,7 58:14 adjudicating 49:21 adjust 26:7 69:25 70:3 71:6 72:12,12 adjusted 30:4 admittedly 4:16 admonition 55:17 advance 41:2 73:24 advice 6:5 affect 33:13 affidavit 39:2 40:24 43:11,15 affidavits 39:24 40:25 43:8 73:6 affirmatively 52:19 aforementioned 78:6 afternoon 3:20 3:23,24 4:2,5,7 4:22 5:14,17 ago 10:8 agree 18:9 20:23 23:23 26:10,19 30:17 31:13,20 37:1 38:5 39:20 40:8,22 42:19 44:21,23 63:2 64:23 65:5 69:22 71:6 75:14 76:21,21 agreed 28:7 29:5 45:1 49:6 54:1 74:14 agreed-upon 29:8 agreeing 28:25 37:7 agreement 21:18	22:21 36:16 69:12 agrees 52:5 62:7 ahead 10:17 12:1,24 32:25 45:17,19,19 AL 1:19 alert 8:25 alerted 7:18,22 alerting 8:2 aligns 72:18 alive 75:1 alleged 35:17 Allen 1:17 3:21 allow 60:6 allows 60:4 alluded 43:23 amount 6:21 analogy 56:16 56:16 analysis 14:15 answer 15:7,8,8 16:9 26:15 57:16 58:12 answered 16:23 anticipate 19:9 22:4 38:23 53:8 54:8 anticipated 20:25 31:7 43:16 anyway 5:3 apart 14:1 apex 67:2,2,22 67:22 apologize 19:19 68:7 appear 56:2 appearances 3:18 appearing 15:19 applications 68:24 apply 37:4 appointed 62:8 appointment 5:2	assuming 62:6 assumption 25:24 assumptions 25:11 assure 43:19 attempt 27:15 attention 14:24 68:3 attorney 78:8 attorney-client 42:11 58:13 attorneys 78:9 August 22:24 23:11 24:2 42:23 authorize 48:16 authorized 76:2 automobile 34:3 available 40:4 AVENUE 2:3,13 avoid 74:1 aware 7:9 66:2,3
			B B 1:9 back 15:23 17:11 22:1 29:8 30:3 31:13 35:3 46:5 52:22 58:1,5,6 64:16 69:4 70:21 backdrop 4:14 4:16 bad 3:15 ball 35:24 41:2 73:25 balls 49:14 BANK 2:3 based 22:18 40:14 46:9,9 basis 44:3 59:17 baton 11:2 13:7 battle 64:24 BAYLEN 2:6

March 31, 2021

80

Beasley 1:17 3:21 beginning 24:14 69:4 begun 12:2 behalf 3:21,25 4:9 5:13,15,18 15:11 54:24 Beisner 2:12 5:12,12 believe 15:8 16:9 21:6 39:10 45:5 48:7 49:13 bellwether 8:18 10:4,7,12 11:8 11:17,24 14:6 14:11 16:3,13 17:4 30:19 33:7 69:24 70:1 72:17 bellwethers 9:14 30:16 31:1 32:22 74:12,14 bench 6:6 Berman 2:19,20 4:10,11,11 54:14 75:8 76:5 BERNADO 2:13 Bernardo 4:22 4:23 5:6 15:14 30:12 34:18 37:6 38:17 40:2,10,20 41:7 43:3,18 44:9,21 45:9 45:23 46:2,12 46:24 47:21,25 48:3,12 50:7 50:11 58:3,16 61:4,11,24 62:23 64:4,7 64:12 65:5 66:14 67:19 68:1,7 71:15	Bernardo's 71:24 77:6 best 6:7 7:25 35:4 52:14 53:5 56:19 62:21 69:20 better 12:11 33:16 34:21 Biddle 2:8 4:20 bifurcated 23:5 big 6:6 8:23 51:8 51:10 bit 8:19 13:24 27:20 36:25 64:8 blah 69:18,18,18 block 12:13 board 38:10 boilerplate 42:8 box 1:19 12:6 Brennan 5:14,15 brief 7:19 39:24 40:24 42:5 54:21 71:14 73:5 77:11 briefed 37:24 40:8 41:17,22 53:25 briefing 39:10 briefs 41:19,25 42:12 65:19 76:18 bring 35:13 36:17 68:3 74:3 brings 11:1 22:10 broader 65:23 broken 5:9 Bucks 49:14 75:10 build 40:3 bunch 26:3 burden 39:23 Burlison 8:3 52:6,14,22	75:5 <hr/> C C 1:10 2:1 78:1 78:1 C.C.R 78:15 calendar 70:24 caliber 43:13 California 28:9 call 3:7 6:22 7:2 13:19,23 26:12 28:2,22 40:11 41:3 43:3 44:23 55:15 67:9 68:14,22 69:4,13 71:3 71:16 72:10,11 73:18,24 74:5 called 12:14 calling 49:14 calls 3:16 Camden 6:13 camera 36:23 39:1 CAMPUS 2:9 cancel 71:9 canceled 71:4 cancer 50:2 67:13 caption 57:18 car 23:20 26:2 car's 24:15 care 2:18 5:18 14:14 19:22 35:21 75:12,13 carveout 21:1 case 6:18,19,23 7:4,7 8:17 9:4 9:9 10:11,24 10:25 11:18 16:2,7,12 19:20 21:5,12 22:3 23:2 28:13,18 32:18 33:25 34:3 35:12,15,23	41:23 43:14 45:15 46:7,16 46:20 47:10,19 49:12 51:14,15 52:12 53:15,20 55:16,20 57:7 57:20 58:22 61:21 62:4,5 62:25 63:18 65:4 67:7,13 67:23 70:18 73:12 76:12,12 78:10 case-specific 10:21 13:16 17:7,12 28:17 30:18 32:12 cases 7:24 8:18 called 12:14 calling 49:14 calls 3:16 Camden 6:13 camera 36:23 39:1 CAMPUS 2:9 cancel 71:9 canceled 71:4 cancer 50:2 67:13 caption 57:18 car 23:20 26:2 car's 24:15 care 2:18 5:18 14:14 19:22 35:21 75:12,13 carveout 21:1 case 6:18,19,23 7:4,7 8:17 9:4 9:9 10:11,24 10:25 11:18 16:2,7,12 19:20 21:5,12 22:3 23:2 28:13,18 32:18 33:25 34:3 35:12,15,23	CERTIFY 78:3 78:7 cetera 13:20 48:15 challenge 35:25 36:14 37:8 39:6 58:7 challenges 13:20 27:17,19 28:12 36:4,10,17 37:13,20 44:11 chance 71:19 change 41:4 69:15 71:16 chat 13:13 19:19 check 33:10 CHERRY 1:13 Chief 6:1 Chris 4:3,7,8 15:4,6,9,11 23:4 45:22 52:1,2,25 Chris's 32:8 CHRISTOPH... 2:2,5 circulate 13:14 circumstance 54:5 62:13 circumstances 6:3 CIVIL 1:3 claim 39:3 43:9 43:12 claims 43:22 clarification 74:18 clear 14:4 23:7 28:21 35:7 51:3 58:8 61:20,20 clearly 46:8 clerk 75:23 close 68:8 closed 6:15 CMO 27:14 67:12
---	---	---	---	--

co-designate 73:10,11	computer 8:23	contemplating 20:4	County 49:14 75:10	current 22:19 69:9 70:10
co-designation 73:23	concerned 26:11 34:17	contemplation 20:1	couple 27:22 54:21	cut 43:22
co-drafted 27:13	concluded 47:10	contest 49:8	course 9:7 26:24 31:16	cutoff 20:1
co-existing 61:25	conducted 34:9	continue 31:23	court 1:1 3:11 6:24,25 7:19	
COHEN 2:2	conducting 27:16	32:4 33:4 37:10 69:8	11:23 14:21 16:8 22:5	D
colead 10:3	confer 29:2	Continued 2:1	35:25 36:11	Dan 4:6 42:17 42:21
colleague 13:4 15:4 42:17	36:16 37:18	conundrum 31:19	38:19 41:12	DANIEL 1:12
colleagues 31:7	39:7,13,19	conversation 7:20 16:19	47:2 48:2,4,8	date 1:7 8:11
come 18:15 28:8 29:7 35:12 37:18 52:7,8 58:22 59:11,20 64:16 65:11 67:20 69:11	40:5,22 70:6 72:21	conversations 7:23 8:5,7	48:11,16,17,21 48:24 49:1,8	17:19,20 18:9 18:15 19:25
comes 62:11	conferences 3:11	conversely 27:24	49:21 50:1,4,8	20:23 21:8
coming 35:14	conferring 28:25	coordinate 27:15,23 36:11	50:21 51:11,20	22:21,23,23,25
comission 75:6	confers 51:1	56:4 67:1,14	54:11 55:3	23:11,11 25:22
Commencing 1:7	confirm 74:4	coordinated 37:15 50:2,20	56:2,8,12,14	26:18,21 27:1
comment 10:19 58:6	confront 59:25	61:16	59:5,24 60:9	27:2,5,8 28:7
comments 32:8	conjunction 38:1	coordinating 28:9 45:2	60:13 61:3	28:10 31:18
COMMERCE 1:18	connection 37:7	copy 12:16	62:7 63:23	33:24 34:8,9
committed 29:22	48:18 49:18	corporate 8:14	65:1 66:2,2,5	34:11 39:16,17
Committee 3:22 4:1 14:18 15:12 41:25	54:17 57:7	Connolly 33:5	67:24 73:17	40:12 44:22
Companies 2:10 2:15	73:12	34:7	75:9 76:10	53:2,7 57:5,6
company 67:3	consensus 11:11	consensus 11:11	77:7,7	68:24 69:9,23
compare 56:15	70:8	16:17,20 21:4	courtesy 5:4	dates 12:9 28:15
complete 17:9 18:22 19:2 20:23 24:16 26:13 27:2 29:17	consent 50:8,13 50:18,20,24	23:10 25:5,14	courtroom 62:12	28:16 45:2
completed 17:11	consider 66:12	27:6 34:1	courts 37:13,25	Daubert 8:12
completely 22:7	70:5	70:12	COVID 5:1,7,9	9:11 10:23
completing 29:4	consideration 28:15	correct 9:11	30:21 71:24	17:12,12
complexity 6:23	considering 52:17 67:1	10:22 11:14	created 58:7	days 33:8,9
	26:16 47:20	14:11 22:16	criminal 49:13	41:13 42:15
	56:15 72:13	56:15 72:13	cross 27:25	54:21
	Council 2:18	Council 2:18	DC 1:16 2:14,18	
	5:19	5:19	de-privileged 36:7	
	counsel 3:7 4:4	33:1 45:10	deadline 9:18	
	33:1 45:10	54:23 58:14	13:8 17:1	
	56:8 57:4,8	64:14 78:8	18:19,22,22	
	58:18 59:7	64:14 78:8	19:2,3 21:1,8	
	60:3,11,15	counterpoint 15:15	24:5 25:10	
	62:14 63:17	15:15	26:12 29:2,3	
	62:14 63:17	country 6:25	33:6 37:11	
	74:21,22,25	76:9	42:23 57:3	
	76:9	crux 42:12 46:12	deadlines 7:13	
	77:9		12:10,20 17:9	
	77:9		22:3 26:7 30:4	

70:1,5 74:11	17:2 26:19	65:2 66:24	17:15,23 18:3	63:1,3,13,21
deal 24:24 26:24	27:14,23 31:22	67:11 73:11	18:7 19:2,5,6,8	73:4,23
32:3 44:18,25	46:19 63:19	74:21,25 75:25	19:25 20:24	Doe 76:16
51:8 56:9	73:13	76:13 77:25	21:3,11 22:25	door 58:1,2,5
59:25 60:5	defendants'	depositions 8:15	23:1,14,19,19	doubt 44:14
61:18 66:7,9	49:20	9:6 13:20 14:1	24:3,15 25:4	downgrade
77:4	defense 41:8	14:19 15:1	25:18,20 26:13	43:23
dealing 9:9 14:8	73:6	17:10 18:23	26:15,22 27:5	downgrading
36:21,23 56:17	defense' 34:19	20:5 22:18	27:16 29:3	drill 13:24
dealt 8:12 14:2	36:6	23:10 25:6,13	30:25 31:8,15	Drinker 2:8 4:19
15:2 34:6	defer 15:3	25:14 27:20	31:23 32:4,16	4:20 5:15
37:15	definite 39:17	33:15 34:2,9	61:22 72:18	DRIVE 1:12
December 11:25	definitely 29:5	34:11 44:25	discuss 9:5,6,7	drop-dead 26:12
17:11 23:6	degree 20:18	45:1 58:8 69:8	16:22 36:1	27:5 57:5
72:18	delighted 3:7 6:1	72:19	40:12,17 66:21	dual 15:23,24
decide 18:17	12:15	deps 21:4 70:13	68:2 69:16	due 6:9 25:4,17
36:18 40:1	denied 53:13	designation	70:15	31:18 32:5
50:8 55:4,11	dep 51:16 59:6	36:14	discussed 63:10	65:19
56:20 57:9	65:13	detail 8:19	69:18 71:15	E
60:10 61:3	depending 39:13	determination	discussion 5:11	E 1:9,9,10,10 2:1
62:8,11 69:16	71:17	59:15	36:12,12 37:5	2:1,22,22 78:1
76:19	deponent 49:5	development	66:22 77:15	78:1
decided 17:25	deponents 22:13	16:6	dispositive	e-mail 7:22,24
36:2 48:8,11	22:18	die 35:23	17:13	8:1,3,7 19:18
48:20 51:19	depose 13:11	difference 51:9	dispute 56:7	earlier 42:24
52:6,19 55:5	15:21 76:16	51:10	disputed 73:4	44:23
55:18,23 58:24	deposed 53:7	different 51:24	DISTRICT 1:1	early 27:13
60:12 63:9	deposition 7:10	56:23	1:1	69:24 70:18,18
64:2 77:4	24:20 27:24	differently	docket 1:3 3:12	74:12
decides 51:23	28:4 33:24	74:10	8:21,22 12:9	ease 8:6
decision 18:2	38:13 41:10	diligence 6:9	66:8	easier 27:21
41:18,20 56:13	44:15 45:13,15	direct 60:7	doctors 18:23	easily 36:22
56:23 57:23	46:15 47:1,9	direction 58:17	20:25 21:2	EAST 1:12
61:7	48:7,19,22	disagree 16:25	24:1 27:6	Easter 77:21
decisions 7:13	49:9,15 50:15	20:14 24:14,14	28:17,17 70:10	easy 24:22,24
35:23 49:2	50:21,25 51:5	30:2 40:20	document 56:21	28:18 36:24
default 40:23	51:19 52:7,9	56:3 59:16	59:19,22	49:24 50:6,7,9
41:5	52:18 53:3,14	61:1	documents	50:9 56:1
defendant 2:10	54:12,13 55:12	disagreement	35:12,18 36:6	61:13
2:18 4:18	55:13,19,22	39:9	36:13,22 37:2	edit 40:10
10:13 39:18,22	56:8,10 57:7	discovery 8:13	37:14 38:8,20	effect 35:21
40:23 72:19	57:18,20 58:9	9:2,12,19	38:21,25 39:1	eight 36:24
73:5 76:15,16	58:18,19,22,24	10:15,21 11:23	39:6,11 41:20	either 4:13 8:7
defendants 2:14	59:12,13,17,19	13:9,10,18,23	43:23 44:7,10	38:21 53:20
4:21,24 5:13	59:24 60:16,20	14:22 15:5,5	46:6 49:19	
5:16 11:17	61:15 62:9,23	16:1 17:3,7,9	62:22,24,25	

70:12	experts 13:11	fashioning 25:15	12:4 26:19	73:19
elegant 49:3	32:9	fast 62:19	29:23 37:24	frame 21:20,21
employers 18:24	explain 48:9	feasible 69:25	47:1 51:2	47:8 70:13
encompassed	54:25	February 35:8	52:10 57:10	frankly 11:1
21:11	explanation	federal 66:5	58:11	60:3
encouraged	55:24	federalism 77:18	five 6:14 46:6	free 52:25
10:18	extended 30:3	feel 12:12 22:15	FL 2:6	Freeze 21:20,21
ensure 37:14	extension 18:17	40:5 52:25	FLOM 2:12	21:21
entered 8:14	20:11 29:17	54:18 61:22	FLORHAM 2:9	friends 18:23
enthused 11:22	30:20	fifteen 62:25	Florida 28:7	19:21 20:24
entries 3:18	extensions 17:21	fifty 36:22 38:20	focus 8:11 14:19	21:2 24:1 25:5
envisioned	extent 25:13	fighting 60:23	focused 37:22	27:6 70:9
30:23	40:22	figuratively	focuses 65:21	front 24:9 58:2
Esquire 1:12,15	extra 42:15	42:20	folks 28:7	full 10:17 27:11
1:18 2:2,5,8,12	<hr/>	figure 65:9	follow 3:15	fully 42:5
2:13,17,20,23	F	file 40:24 53:9	follows 8:11	fulsome 10:15
establish 66:18	F 1:9 2:17 78:1	54:8 63:19	forced 67:25	15:7
established	face 33:2	64:13	foreclose 34:10	further 32:14
25:23,23	faces 6:8	filed 41:19 51:23	foregoing 78:3	56:16 64:15
et 13:20 48:15	fact 9:2,19 10:6	53:24 61:5	forgetting 15:17	78:7
evaluating 16:17	13:10,23,25	files 57:8	form 76:22	future 25:7
event 38:9 52:8	14:13 17:9	filing 53:10	forma 43:10,15	<hr/>
everybody 28:11	19:6 21:3,6	fill 6:7 7:6	43:19 44:4	G
49:25 77:24	22:25 23:1,14	filled 7:5	52:13	game 35:10
exactly 21:23	24:3 25:4	final 25:3 31:21	formal 76:17	gaps 7:5
37:6 49:4 58:4	26:13 27:5	39:5	former 20:10	garage 23:21
59:9,9 61:12	31:15 33:11	financially	45:10	24:15 26:3
61:25 62:4	34:2 46:4 69:9	78:10	Forrest 53:15	gathered 31:2
65:6 72:14	factual 22:3 46:8	find 8:24	forward 8:15	general 6:22 7:7
example 28:17	Faegre 2:8 4:20	fine 9:7 13:5	10:15 11:19	8:10 9:3,4,8,20
32:12	5:15	18:11 22:22	18:3,18 25:25	11:11 16:1
excellent 29:11	fair 6:21 18:20	37:11 41:4	26:6,23 32:21	32:13 45:10
excited 10:18,24	22:24 33:19	42:25 64:21	41:6 45:13	generally 7:4
executed 49:7	35:10	68:6 70:9 72:5	48:8,9,20 49:9	8:16 9:11
expect 43:13	fairly 12:1	72:6	49:15 50:22	13:21,23 64:7
58:9	fairness 18:21	finish 9:2,19	51:19 52:5,7	generic 23:14
expecting 36:9	29:13	18:12 24:2	53:14 54:6,13	43:10
experience 61:5	fall 39:20 43:24	25:18 52:2	55:5,10,14,21	gentleman 6:4
expert 13:16	falls 46:3	61:9	58:9 60:5,6,16	7:21 21:23
17:10,10 22:23	familiar 6:8 42:7	finished 4:15	60:21,23 62:6	Gerel 1:14 3:25
22:23 24:5,6	family 19:21	17:20 31:22	62:10 66:7	getting 10:25
25:3,10,15,17	24:1 25:5 27:6	firm 1:17 4:6	70:14 76:19	20:2 26:1
25:19 31:17,21	70:10	70:15	fought 54:6,9	29:23 37:15
32:5 33:13,13	fantasy 29:15	firmed 69:19	found 12:13	58:1 66:24
33:22 34:4	far 26:11 43:20	first 3:7 6:5	four 10:10 46:5	give 12:15,23
69:9 72:19	44:9 50:24	10:20 11:16,16	71:19 73:8,15	20:20 39:5

March 31, 2021

84

42:15 57:3	51:12 53:7	happen 11:15	38:1,14 39:4	impacted 25:19
64:2	55:21 57:4,5	23:19 39:21	39:10 40:2,5	impacting 48:23
given 18:25 44:9	58:5,13,21	happened 23:20	40:15 42:16	important 12:21
48:7 59:18	59:5 60:2,3,5	27:21 33:23,25	43:18 44:2	22:15 41:12
61:5	60:10,15,16	52:1,24	45:4,5,16 47:5	42:5 48:13
gives 72:24	61:3 62:7	happening 58:4	47:5,25 48:6	49:12 52:12
go 6:11 8:15	63:17 66:7,7	happens 18:15	48:12 49:17	55:22 69:5
9:14 11:13	66:16 67:7	30:22 61:15	50:25 52:8	importantly
12:24 15:19	68:9 69:4,8,15	62:3	53:16,18 54:16	74:11
18:3,18 22:1	70:11,14 71:5	happy 19:2 38:4	55:7,11 56:2,4	impressed 77:12
26:23 32:25	71:14 73:4,5	45:23 54:25	56:5,23 58:3	impression 3:13
45:13,17,19,19	77:17	55:24 64:23	61:5,11,18	8:16 23:12
48:9,20 49:15	good 3:20,23,23	77:20,21,24	62:14 63:11,12	in-house 58:14
52:9,22 54:6	4:2,5,7,22 5:10	harder 37:1 77:6	65:6,7,11	includes 26:14
55:21 58:9	5:14,17 7:2	hate 42:18	66:14,22 68:1	incorrect 52:25
60:5,6,18,21	20:16 21:19	head 28:6 58:4	68:11,17,25	55:2
60:22 70:14	29:11,16 32:3	health 14:14	70:4,22 72:16	increasingly
76:19	43:21 71:11	19:22	74:2,16	66:24
goal 27:3 61:8	74:8 77:13,22	hear 22:5,7	Honor's 32:19	incredibly 7:20
70:17,20	Goodman 2:23	hearing 26:25	64:21 67:5	independent
goes 47:1 48:8	5:23,23	30:6,11 35:6	hope 4:3 16:23	14:17
49:9 50:21	Goodrich 66:11	heavy 65:4	hopeful 11:20	indicated 11:20
51:19 52:5,7	66:15	held 3:1	21:25	16:4 22:2
52:21,22 53:14	Gorski 66:12,20	help 6:17,18	hopefully 29:9	individual 11:12
55:4,10,14	67:7,19,23	13:14 15:18	39:19 69:11	14:5,12 70:12
58:6 62:6,10	Gorski's 67:10	24:19 29:9	72:22 73:8	inertia 25:24
going 3:14 6:7	gotta 25:16	41:2 73:24	HOWARD	infor 12:15
6:16 7:12 9:2,5	gracious 7:20	HILL 1:13	78:15	inform 73:16
9:14,16 11:11	granted 20:11	history 7:3 9:24	hundred 36:21	information
11:13 12:5	53:12	hit 58:3 64:8	36:22 38:20,21	46:8 47:11
14:25 17:24	grapple 6:18 7:1	holiday 77:23	62:25	59:19,23
23:2,8 24:1,2	gray 43:25	holidays 77:24	hypothetical	initial 13:8,10
24:24 25:7,11	great 6:5,9 13:6	home 31:4	58:18	inject 24:13 27:9
25:19,25,25	36:19 74:17	honest 31:6	hypothetically	input 69:3
26:5,23 27:24	grounds 58:12	honestly 67:8	57:17,17	insight 8:9
29:16,18 30:3	guarantee 63:13	Honor 3:24 4:2	<hr/>	instance 49:13
30:7 31:9,22	guess 3:17 6:13	4:5,22 5:12,14	I	57:10
32:21 33:14,20	61:6	5:17 13:15	idea 29:11,11	instinct 64:25
34:4 35:23	gyn 32:12	14:3 15:10	identified 9:13	instruction
36:11 38:2,24	<hr/>	19:15,18 20:4	19:12 20:15	35:16 58:12
38:25 39:6,18	H 2:12	21:17 22:14	29:12	instructions
39:19,21,23	hand 5:5,9 16:11	23:6 24:19	identify 8:18	3:15 35:7
40:6 41:6	handled 33:4	25:8 28:22	17:3,22 18:8	intended 18:21
42:17 43:14	hands 20:12	30:9,12,13	20:21 23:8	19:1,21
48:20 49:14,15	handy 12:9,24	33:3 36:3,17	36:13 73:4	intends 16:4
49:17,25 51:11		37:6,19,22	identifying 29:3	18:5,6

intent 54:11 55:8 74:22	36:18 38:7 40:7,15,17	23:16,24,25 24:4 27:14	know 3:9 5:1,8 6:6,19,20,25	lastly 73:21 latest 12:7
interest 61:25 69:20	42:1,7 45:5 49:16,18 55:11	29:16 32:23 33:5 34:7 35:6	7:4,15,17 8:11 8:12,13 9:3,22	laughing 77:19 Laurence 2:20 4:10
interested 35:13 42:13 78:10	55:18 65:10,20 65:23,24 66:15	35:21,22 38:1 38:1 45:12	9:25 12:8,19 13:12,18 14:18	law 1:17 12:13 36:5 50:24
interim 30:4	66:17 70:11	46:3 47:2,7,9	15:3,11 17:19	55:1 56:22
interject 30:14	71:14 73:2	47:10,23 48:21	19:7,8,16 20:3	76:3
internally 36:12	iteration 61:6	48:24 49:14,22 <hr/> J	20:6 21:6 22:7 23:12 26:5	laws 50:15,16
introduced 7:16 introduction 3:18	51:2,12,21,23 J&J 4:20 5:13 5:15 52:14	52:4,6,8,9,14 52:16,22,23	29:13,13,14 30:15 31:10	lawyer 24:21 50:19 54:16
involve 63:13	53:8,9 54:8,23	54:1 55:17	33:17,17,22,24	75:4
involved 62:2	54:24 57:8	56:16,20 58:6	34:8 35:25	lawyer-deposi... 34:23
irrelevant 64:5	70:13	58:17,21,24	36:9,24 39:13	lawyers 28:9 43:14 51:15
issuance 48:16	Jane 76:16	59:18 60:4,6	41:24 42:4,4,8	54:17 65:9
issue 7:9,10 18:1 18:17 23:5 24:23 28:23 33:2 35:3,5 36:2 38:12,13 40:1 41:10,12 41:17 42:5 44:15,20 45:20 45:21 47:8 48:7,19 50:9 51:7 57:10 60:12,24 61:9 63:10,24 65:4 65:13,16,16,25 66:11,12,13,20 67:24 68:2 69:7,19 71:23 71:24 73:2,3,9 75:19 76:18 77:4,5,6,10	January 23:17 23:20	61:20 62:5,6 62:11,15 65:14 65:16 66:4,5	43:11,11,17 44:5 46:4,11 47:23 50:3	lay 14:13 lead 25:16 learn 28:8 55:19 learned 6:17 learning 34:13 leave 7:11 16:18 29:1 46:12 68:10
issued 23:17 33:5 34:7 48:17 50:14 52:13,16 53:22 54:2 55:3 75:7 75:9 76:9,17	Jersey 1:1 6:24 7:18 37:8,21 49:4,7,7,10 50:12,17 51:12 51:14,15,20,23 52:22 53:22 54:1,2 67:24	67:8 68:14 69:6,15,17 70:19 71:18 74:9,18,20 75:5 77:17	July 13:11 24:7 25:4 31:18 32:6	52:14 53:5 57:16,22 61:2 62:21 65:21,24 66:4 67:9,10 67:12,15,17,20 70:11,17 71:4 73:25 74:13,14 75:10 76:5,5,6 77:8
joined 6:5	Jessica 5:15	June 9:2 25:7 31:18 32:6	knowledge 18:24 53:19	legal 38:7 legitimate 44:3
journey 24:16	John 2:12 5:12	jurisdiction 27:25 49:8	known 2:11,15 4:20	Leigh 1:18 3:20 11:2 28:24 45:17
judge 3:6,9,12 3:20 5:8 6:2,4 6:13,15 7:18 7:22 8:3,13 9:18 10:2	2:10,10,10,10 2:11,11,14,14 2:15,15,15,15 66:3,3	50:13 51:1 54:3 56:9,13 57:11	knows 5:21 37:23	let's 17:24 34:24 38:20 39:15,17 39:17 41:5,9 44:15 46:12
key 37:12	jurisdictions 27:16 31:4	Kugler 6:15 <hr/> K	Kugler 6:15	61:9 64:1,1 65:3 70:15 71:9
kick 42:19 77:3	Jutkowski 15:16	laboring 42:18	letter 23:6,7 38:24 39:24	letter 23:6,7 38:24 39:24
kind 14:14 15:23 22:1 23:3,7,15	<hr/> K	lack 33:16	40:24 44:19 45:1 54:21	40:24 44:19 45:1 54:21
knew 6:4 67:18	keep 16:6 45:22 69:23 70:1,17	LAKE 1:12	66:10 67:16 69:17 73:22	66:10 67:16 69:17 73:22
	key 37:12	Lapinski 1:12	large 38:8	level 9:10,24

25:24 67:1	lose 34:18 49:25	60:8,17,25	29:1,7	motion 50:1
Levin 2:5,19 4:8	losing 68:8	61:8 62:8,16	mentioned 15:13	51:22 53:10,24
4:11	lot 6:17,19 22:9	63:5,15 64:1	66:10	54:8,10 61:4
liability 1:5	32:16 36:5	64:10,22 65:12	mercy 28:1	motions 17:13
10:25 13:18	44:7 74:1	66:9 67:6 68:5	mesothelioma	Motley 1:11 4:6
14:22 15:5	love 20:15	68:12,19,21	41:23 49:12	mountain 72:1
16:11,12,20	<hr/> M	69:2,22 70:7	51:15 65:22	mouth 45:22
19:25 25:18,20	M 2:2,8	70:23 71:1,12	66:6,25	move 10:14
30:24 31:8	magistrate 6:13	71:21 72:2,9	mess 5:9	11:19 17:11
33:6,12 34:2	58:23 77:17	72:14,25 74:6	Michelle 1:15	46:19 59:5
liaison 4:3	manner 37:16	74:17,24 75:12	3:24 10:2	66:7 73:13
library 4:13	MAPLE 2:3	75:24 76:11	28:24 30:17	moved 13:11
life 20:10	March 1:7	77:2,16,22	million 43:6,6	movie 77:14
lift 65:4	mark 76:4	material 36:9	mind 8:6 15:23	moving 5:3
light 38:15 40:7	MARKETING	51:8,10	minor 40:10	10:17 24:17,18
64:17	1:4	materials 31:1	minutes 12:24	24:18,18 26:6
line 5:3 35:11	marshaled 31:2	44:4	missed 6:6 9:22	54:23
37:25 61:9	master 3:4,5	matter 33:11	48:14	mud 26:4,6
list 22:19 37:18	4:12,17 5:20	50:12 53:5	Missouri 8:2,3	multi-millions
39:5,19 40:5	5:25 8:1 11:4,7	McCracken	28:8 35:22	44:7
40:11,12,14,21	11:10 12:5,19	2:23 5:24	45:12 47:8,22	multiple 37:13
litigation 1:5	13:1,3,21 14:7	McKeegan	48:2,10,16	<hr/> N
33:3 34:7,14	14:25 16:24	66:11,15	51:6 52:6 53:5	N 1:10 2:1,22
43:16 48:18	17:17 19:4,10	MDL 3:6 8:11	54:5,6,11	N.W 2:13,17
58:15 62:2	20:8 21:10,15	14:20 28:8	56:14,22 57:12	nail 58:4
little 8:19 13:24	21:20 22:6,8	56:8,10 57:10	57:19,20 58:20	name 5:21 15:17
27:20 36:25	22:20 23:22	58:19 59:13	58:22 61:21	46:8 58:10
64:8,24	24:8,22 25:2	60:12 61:15	65:1 66:5 75:5	narrow 38:5
live 20:13 29:14	25:21 26:9,17	63:24	77:7	39:8 65:21
29:15 35:23	29:10,20 30:1	MDLs 6:15	misspoke 74:7	navigate 15:24
LLC 1:11	30:10 31:11	MEAGHER	misstep 59:3	necessarily
LLP 1:14 2:8,12	32:10,25 33:19	2:12	mistaken 13:10	62:18,18
2:16,23	34:24 35:2	mean 15:22	misunderstan...	necessary 16:12
Locke 2:17 5:17	36:19 38:16	24:13 26:22	70:16	72:12
5:18	39:15 40:9,19	30:15 31:19	MITCHELL	need 6:11 7:5
logistical 40:16	41:9,15 42:2,6	32:23 48:6	2:5	9:25 16:1,5,22
long 6:19	42:10 43:2	50:18 57:24	mixed 24:9	17:15 18:17
long-term 16:14	44:5,13 45:7	63:6,6,7 64:3	mm-hmm 11:6	21:11,25 23:18
look 33:22 36:22	45:11 46:11,15	means 26:21	moment 21:19	21:19 26:11
59:21 65:8	46:18 47:3,12	medical 33:14	21:19 26:11	23:19 24:19
70:23	47:18,21 48:1	meet 4:1 18:14	48:21 51:7	28:3 30:19
looked 62:22	48:10 50:5	36:15 37:18	Montgomery	31:7 34:9,19
looking 3:12	53:2,6 56:6	39:7,13,19	1:19 2:23 5:24	34:22 35:2
16:16 35:4	57:1,15,25	40:22 70:6	months 10:8	42:8,21 61:2
36:4,8 37:25	58:16 59:8	72:21	moot 60:24	61:23 62:19
55:15		meeting 28:24	morning 67:18	68:23 70:13

71:9 72:10 76:20 needed 37:14 43:11 neither 78:7 never 18:3,18 31:5 60:2 new 1:1 2:13 6:24 7:15,18 20:23 32:15 36:9 37:8,21 49:4,7,7,10 50:12,17 51:11 51:14,15,20,23 52:22 53:22,25 54:2 67:24 nice 4:14 7:20 nicest 4:13 NJ 1:13 2:3,9 non-privileged 46:6 normal 76:12,12 Norton 8:1 38:2 note 21:18 44:22 notice 27:25 47:14,16,20 57:4,8 58:18 59:7,14 60:3,7 60:11,16 63:17 67:7 74:22,22 74:25 75:13,17 75:25 76:9,17 noticed 46:16 53:17 56:8 62:14 76:1 notices 76:13 notified 74:15 notifying 8:4 notion 16:25 nth 20:18 nuance 64:8 number 1:3 3:13 10:7 12:9 22:13 44:12 61:7 nuts 24:2	NW 1:15 <hr/> O O'clock 68:20,22 71:13,19,20,25 71:25 72:3,4 74:4 O'Dell 1:18 3:20 3:21 13:6 14:3 14:10 15:3 19:15 20:9 21:5,13 24:7 29:24 30:9,13 31:11 32:7,11 36:3 37:9,17 38:4,9,18 39:4 41:16 42:16 43:1,23 45:18 45:21 67:17 69:21 77:1 O'Dell's 40:11 44:10 O'Shaughnessy 45:8,9 46:16 49:6 51:16,22 52:18 53:9,23 54:22 56:10 58:19,25 59:14 63:1,14,18 64:3 66:18 73:2,9 O'Shaughnessy... 46:7 53:3 65:13 object 29:18 31:23 55:10 objection 17:24 17:25 18:11,13 18:14 19:13 26:24 31:24,25 35:19 47:19 63:19 64:3 73:7 objections 20:22 35:13 48:22	50:22 obstacles 64:17 obviously 10:17 54:23 55:22 57:22 63:9 occur 14:16 39:12 53:13 61:14 O'Dell 1:18 3:20 3:21 13:6 14:3 14:10 15:3 19:15 20:9 21:5,13 24:7 29:24 30:9,13 31:11 32:7,11 36:3 37:9,17 38:4,9,18 39:4 41:16 42:16 43:1,23 45:18 45:21 67:17 69:21 77:1 O'Dell's 40:11 44:10 O'Shaughnessy 45:8,9 46:16 49:6 51:16,22 52:18 53:9,23 54:22 56:10 58:19,25 59:14 63:1,14,18 64:3 66:18 73:2,9 O'Shaughnessy... 46:7 53:3 65:13 object 29:18 31:23 55:10 objection 17:24 17:25 18:11,13 18:14 19:13 26:24 31:24,25 35:19 47:19 63:19 64:3 73:7 objections 20:22 35:13 48:22	optimist 12:3 oral 68:23 71:13 73:8,14 ordeal 5:2 order 3:12 8:14 8:22 9:1 11:23 12:7,17 13:13 15:2 17:8 occurred 6:3 odds 20:16 offer 34:13 offered 49:23 offering 32:13 offhand 12:20 oh 18:16 45:17 okay 4:17 12:19 12:25 13:1 22:6,8 24:8,9 24:11 25:1 26:17 28:7,9 33:12,14,19 34:24 40:19 41:9,15 42:2 42:14 43:2 46:18 47:12,18 51:14 61:19 63:1,3,25 64:10,11 68:12 68:19 69:1 72:25 74:8 77:19 old 77:16 once 40:10 55:5 oncologist 32:12 one-page 38:24 ones 14:1 15:2 37:21 ongoing 31:8,15 open 19:16 20:3 opinion 32:13,15 opinions 32:15 opportunity 11:16 14:23 19:24 20:21 40:4 opposite 38:9	page 27:1 Papantonio 2:5 4:8 papers 64:13 parallel 6:23 30:24 Parfitt 1:15 3:23 3:24 10:2,3 11:6,9,14 12:11,23 13:2 21:14,16,21 22:7,9 24:7 29:24 37:9 45:25 67:17 68:17,20,25 71:18,22 72:3 72:6 74:15 77:13 PARK 2:9 part 23:4,5 34:1 48:14 51:6 52:15 70:8 particular 19:22 45:3 56:20 65:10 parties 16:16 18:21 23:8,18 25:5 33:4,5,6 37:1 39:7 41:23 50:8,12 53:25 64:22 70:11 71:17 73:16,24 78:8 78:8 parties' 50:19 partner 10:5 11:2 pass 10:5 11:2 13:4 Passover 77:21 PATRICIA 1:18 PC 2:2 pencil 71:3 72:10 73:18 Pennsylvania 48:17,25 49:22
---	---	--	---	---

50:14,15,16	23:7,15,17	plan 36:14 41:6	38:19 53:21	71:21
51:1,16,21,21	place 17:8 19:18	74:8	presenting 44:1	procedural
52:5 53:10,23	47:1 49:10	players 7:17	preside 54:12	40:17 64:17
53:24 54:3,7,9	50:15 52:10	please 15:9	62:9	procedurally
54:16,17 55:3	53:12 78:5	52:25	president 44:20	52:23 56:1
55:3,6 59:4,5,6	Placitella 2:2,2	pleasure 4:1	presumably	75:2 76:8
60:4,6,9,13,20	4:2,3,12,15	6:14	57:8 63:12	procedure 41:4
60:23,24 61:3	25:8,22 26:10	point 30:20 32:3	73:12	41:5 53:19
62:7 63:23	26:14 31:13	32:19 48:6,13	presumptuous	55:25 64:23
64:14 65:9	32:2 41:10,11	52:11 59:11,15	62:1	proceed 7:12
74:20 75:3,8	41:22 42:3,7,9	65:6 74:18	pretty 20:16	50:1 64:13
76:3,6,10	51:25 53:1,18	76:23,25	28:21,23 29:15	proceeding
PENSACOLA	54:25 59:1,10	points 64:9	35:7 41:12	23:13 48:4
2:6	60:8,15,19	pool 11:8 14:6	51:3,4 77:5,10	49:5 65:8
people 8:8 18:24	65:12,15 67:21	poor 77:16	primarily 33:14	71:16
28:1,3 41:24	75:16,20 76:22	Porter 7:18	33:15	proceedings 3:1
44:19 55:14	77:20	portion 10:25	primary 64:9	3:14 6:24
67:9	plaintiff 10:13	position 20:9	privilege 7:9 9:5	14:21 52:15
percent 44:6,7	17:15,16 18:2	49:1,20 53:12	13:19 24:20	process 10:8,16
perfectly 41:4	18:5,6,25	positions 23:18	34:22 35:5,8	10:18 12:3
72:6	19:23 30:5,6	possible 41:21	36:5,14 38:8	40:14 54:19
permitted 18:2	30:11 39:25	70:19	38:12 39:3	63:11 75:5
18:18 25:6	41:1 45:12,14	postponed 73:20	42:11 43:9	PROCTOR 2:5
permitting 8:14	57:9 73:3,7,22	potential 16:16	44:3 48:23	produced 46:7
person 8:7	76:15	49:5	49:16,18 55:12	62:22,24
Personal 2:18	plaintiff's 10:3	potentially	55:18 58:13	product 39:3
5:18	plaintiffs 1:13	70:12	62:10 63:3,21	43:16
perspective	1:16,20 2:4,7	POWDER 1:4	73:2,3,23	production 44:6
13:22 32:20	3:19,21,25 4:4	power 8:23	privileged 35:18	Products 1:4,5
60:21	4:9,11 9:21	47:22	35:22 39:11	2:18 5:19
pertinent 32:9	11:15 17:2,8	practical 54:14	43:10,16 46:9	professionals
phase 8:17 10:12	17:23 18:11,21	practice 3:16	56:21 59:20,24	14:14
PHILADELP...	26:11,23 29:21	PRACTICES	63:11 64:5	program 25:16
2:21	31:20 35:16	1:4	pro 43:10,15,19	progress 29:6
phone 6:8 28:1	39:10 55:9	prejudice 43:3	44:4 52:13	progressed
41:3 42:19	56:3 57:3	73:22	28:14	28:14
55:15 66:3	59:12 61:21	preliminarily	proof 39:23	properly 49:7
69:17 71:16	62:21 63:17,20	10:9	22:10 42:17	proportional
73:24	64:25 65:3	premised 16:20	57:14 58:12	63:7
phonetic 15:16	67:6 73:10,14	preparation	59:16 61:6	proportionality
pick 12:5 32:7	plaintiffs' 13:22	14:11	65:21 66:19	64:6
picture 4:14,16	14:18 15:12	preparations	68:7 76:22	proposal 71:6
27:11	22:23 24:5	30:18	problem 23:4	propose 64:19
piece 34:14	25:3,10 34:20	prepared 28:22	24:22 31:12	69:15 71:17
Pisano 3:10 6:4	35:24 41:24	preparing 32:21	36:20 40:9	73:17
8:13 14:2 15:2	55:15	present 23:24,25	42:6 68:6	

proposing 61:12	22:21 33:21	47:7 52:11	remedy 56:24	Rich 4:23 15:14
protocol 3:9	35:9 36:21	55:23 66:22	remember 21:8	15:17 27:17,18
prove 16:12	38:17,18 41:16	67:5 68:3 70:2	reminds 41:16	36:9,15 39:5
provide 15:7	46:13,25 47:13	70:2	remote 1:5 3:1	45:25 51:9
32:15 36:15	47:17 51:8,18	rearguing 75:19	removed 47:1	67:19
47:11	51:24 52:4,17	reason 15:10	replacement 3:5	Rich's 56:16
providers 19:22	52:21 53:16	49:12 62:17,18	report 25:10,15	Richard 2:13
proviso 71:14	55:13 56:9	reasonable	25:17 70:21	5:5
prudent 17:22	57:16 58:11	20:23	reporter 3:11	right 10:16
18:8 19:12	72:15 76:3	reasons 62:20	reports 17:10	11:24 12:14
20:20 22:12	questions 33:12	REATH 2:8	24:6,6 25:3	13:2 14:9 15:3
26:18	57:23 63:12	recall 23:16	31:17,21 32:5	20:12,16,19
purely 57:17	quickly 41:20	65:17	33:13,13 69:9	21:3,19,22,23
58:18	73:25	recollection 8:25	representative	24:9,10,19
purposes 16:7,7	R	52:15,19	37:2,4 38:6,22	25:21 30:1
36:18 72:16	R 1:9,10,12 2:1	record 3:14,16	request 57:9	33:15 35:9
pursuant 48:22	2:22 78:1	5:11,21 15:11	67:18 77:6	43:17 44:7
50:14,16,17	Rachel 2:23 5:20	44:22 58:11	requested 44:25	45:11 46:14
51:5 67:12	5:23	77:15	67:10	53:4 56:14
pursue 61:22	radar 67:5 68:4	RED 2:3	requests 24:20	57:14 59:7,8,9
push 28:10	Rafferty 2:5 4:8	redo 65:3	resistance 61:17	59:9 60:17
put 3:16 5:21	raise 40:15 45:4	refer 23:6	resolve 72:22	61:22 62:4,16
8:6 13:13	raised 15:13	referring 50:10	resolved 6:16	62:16 63:8,21
24:23 37:1	32:2 35:9 58:7	refine 38:5	72:23	65:17 68:16,24
40:18 65:3	raises 45:4 65:9	regard 10:15,20	respect 55:11	69:20 75:1,22
66:23 67:4,5	randomly 48:21	10:22 11:24	65:10 66:18,20	76:12 77:1,20
68:22	randomly-assi...	12:2 18:7 73:9	respectfully	ripe 59:10 66:16
puts 51:21	48:24 49:22	73:17	57:13 60:25	68:6
putting 51:7	RAPPAPORT	regret 6:2	respond 39:25	risk 43:24 68:8
70:5	78:15	relating 17:7	41:13 59:1	road 28:11
Q	reach 36:16	33:16	63:20 73:14	57:24 63:9
quarter 29:24	40:15	relation 21:7	responds 41:1	77:3
quash 46:19	read 33:24 35:5	relatively 28:18	57:9 73:7	rolled 20:17
50:1 51:23	35:20 50:24	relatives 18:23	response 58:11	ROTH 2:2
53:10,24 54:8	ready 6:21 27:4	20:24	responsibility	rule 49:9 54:1
54:10 57:9	74:10	relevancy 63:8	15:24 58:15	59:21,22 62:5
59:5 60:19	real 29:14	relevant 25:14	62:15	63:23 64:6
73:13	really 10:12	31:17 32:5	responsible 26:2	76:18
quashed 56:11	13:17 14:23	47:11 63:6,8	Ret 1:9 3:2	ruled 48:24 62:6
58:19,25 60:18	19:20 20:1	relying 32:16	retired 3:5 6:12	74:20
75:1	21:9 22:4	remainder 13:12	77:17	ruling 37:3
quashes 59:6	30:15 35:24	31:9	review 39:1	rulings 48:23
question 11:5	37:20 40:7	remains 39:9	revised 36:4	54:12 62:9
14:4 15:7 16:9	42:5,13 43:21	remanded 16:8	revisit 65:11	run 27:22 28:5
16:20,23,25	44:20 45:3	31:3	Rhoads 2:23	
			Rice 1:11 4:6	
			S	

S 1:10 2:1,20,22 2:22 SALES 1:4 sample 37:3,4 38:22 satisfy 49:24 saw 8:21 12:7 saying 16:19 26:8 30:7 33:22 34:5 38:24 52:3 54:15 55:1 says 19:17 21:2 21:5 45:12 65:2 76:15 schedule 7:12 12:1 17:16 19:16 20:5,11 27:19 28:18 40:8 63:20 68:14 69:6,13 69:14 70:3,16 70:18 71:7,8 73:1,18 schedules 28:2 scheduling 8:21 9:1 12:7,17,22 28:12 68:13 69:19 72:12 Schneider 1:9 3:2,4,6 4:12,17 5:8,20,25 11:4 11:7,10 12:5 12:19 13:1,3 13:21 14:7,25 16:24 17:17 19:4,10 20:8 21:10,15,20 22:6,8,20 23:22 24:8,22 25:2,21 26:9 26:17 29:10,20 30:1,10 31:11 32:10,25 33:19 34:24 35:2 36:19 38:16	39:15 40:9,19 41:9,15 42:2,6 42:10 43:2 44:5,13,25 45:1,2,15 46:1,2,15 47:1,2,15 48:1,2,15 49:1,2,15 50:1,2,15 51:1,2,15 52:1,2,15 53:1,2,15 54:1,2,15 55:1,2,15 56:1,2,15 57:1,2,15 58:1,2,15 59:1,2,15 60:1,2,15 61:1,2,15 62:1,2,15 63:1,2,15 64:1,2,15 65:1,2,15 66:1,2,15 67:1,2,15 68:1,2,15 69:1,2,15 70:1,2,15 71:1,2,15 72:1,2,15 73:1,2,15 74:1,2,15 75:1,2,15 76:1,2,15 77:1,2,15 78:1,2,15 79:1,2,15 80:1,2,15 81:1,2,15 82:1,2,15 83:1,2,15 84:1,2,15 85:1,2,15 86:1,2,15 87:1,2,15 88:1,2,15 89:1,2,15 90:1,2,15 91:1,2,15 92:1,2,15 93:1,2,15 94:1,2,15 95:1,2,15 96:1,2,15 97:1,2,15 98:1,2,15 99:1,2,15 100:1,2,15 101:1,2,15 102:1,2,15 103:1,2,15 104:1,2,15 105:1,2,15 106:1,2,15 107:1,2,15 108:1,2,15 109:1,2,15 110:1,2,15 111:1,2,15 112:1,2,15 113:1,2,15 114:1,2,15 115:1,2,15 116:1,2,15 117:1,2,15 118:1,2,15 119:1,2,15 120:1,2,15 121:1,2,15 122:1,2,15 123:1,2,15 124:1,2,15 125:1,2,15 126:1,2,15 127:1,2,15 128:1,2,15 129:1,2,15 130:1,2,15 131:1,2,15 132:1,2,15 133:1,2,15 134:1,2,15 135:1,2,15 136:1,2,15 137:1,2,15 138:1,2,15 139:1,2,15 140:1,2,15 141:1,2,15 142:1,2,15 143:1,2,15 144:1,2,15 145:1,2,15 146:1,2,15 147:1,2,15 148:1,2,15 149:1,2,15 150:1,2,15 151:1,2,15 152:1,2,15 153:1,2,15 154:1,2,15 155:1,2,15 156:1,2,15 157:1,2,15 158:1,2,15 159:1,2,15 160:1,2,15 161:1,2,15 162:1,2,15 163:1,2,15 164:1,2,15 165:1,2,15 166:1,2,15 167:1,2,15 168:1,2,15 169:1,2,15 170:1,2,15 171:1,2,15 172:1,2,15 173:1,2,15 174:1,2,15 175:1,2,15 176:1,2,15 177:1,2,15 178:1,2,15 179:1,2,15 180:1,2,15 181:1,2,15 182:1,2,15 183:1,2,15 184:1,2,15 185:1,2,15 186:1,2,15 187:1,2,15 188:1,2,15 189:1,2,15 190:1,2,15 191:1,2,15 192:1,2,15 193:1,2,15 194:1,2,15 195:1,2,15 196:1,2,15 197:1,2,15 198:1,2,15 199:1,2,15 200:1,2,15 201:1,2,15 202:1,2,15 203:1,2,15 204:1,2,15 205:1,2,15 206:1,2,15 207:1,2,15 208:1,2,15 209:1,2,15 210:1,2,15 211:1,2,15 212:1,2,15 213:1,2,15 214:1,2,15 215:1,2,15 216:1,2,15 217:1,2,15 218:1,2,15 219:1,2,15 220:1,2,15 221:1,2,15 222:1,2,15 223:1,2,15 224:1,2,15 225:1,2,15 226:1,2,15 227:1,2,15 228:1,2,15 229:1,2,15 230:1,2,15 231:1,2,15 232:1,2,15 233:1,2,15 234:1,2,15 235:1,2,15 236:1,2,15 237:1,2,15 238:1,2,15 239:1,2,15 240:1,2,15 241:1,2,15 242:1,2,15 243:1,2,15 244:1,2,15 245:1,2,15 246:1,2,15 247:1,2,15 248:1,2,15 249:1,2,15 250:1,2,15 251:1,2,15 252:1,2,15 253:1,2,15 254:1,2,15 255:1,2,15 256:1,2,15 257:1,2,15 258:1,2,15 259:1,2,15 260:1,2,15 261:1,2,15 262:1,2,15 263:1,2,15 264:1,2,15 265:1,2,15 266:1,2,15 267:1,2,15 268:1,2,15 269:1,2,15 270:1,2,15 271:1,2,15 272:1,2,15 273:1,2,15 274:1,2,15 275:1,2,15 276:1,2,15 277:1,2,15 278:1,2,15 279:1,2,15 280:1,2,15 281:1,2,15 282:1,2,15 283:1,2,15 284:1,2,15 285:1,2,15 286:1,2,15 287:1,2,15 288:1,2,15 289:1,2,15 290:1,2,15 291:1,2,15 292:1,2,15 293:1,2,15 294:1,2,15 295:1,2,15 296:1,2,15 297:1,2,15 298:1,2,15 299:1,2,15 300:1,2,15 301:1,2,15 302:1,2,15 303:1,2,15 304:1,2,15 305:1,2,15 306:1,2,15 307:1,2,15 308:1,2,15 309:1,2,15 310:1,2,15 311:1,2,15 312:1,2,15 313:1,2,15 314:1,2,15 315:1,2,15 316:1,2,15 317:1,2,15 318:1,2,15 319:1,2,15 320:1,2,15 321:1,2,15 322:1,2,15 323:1,2,15 324:1,2,15 325:1,2,15 326:1,2,15 327:1,2,15 328:1,2,15 329:1,2,15 330:1,2,15 331:1,2,15 332:1,2,15 333:1,2,15 334:1,2,15 335:1,2,15 336:1,2,15 337:1,2,15 338:1,2,15 339:1,2,15 340:1,2,15 341:1,2,15 342:1,2,15 343:1,2,15 344:1,2,15 345:1,2,15 346:1,2,15 347:1,2,15 348:1,2,15 349:1,2,15 350:1,2,15 351:1,2,15 352:1,2,15 353:1,2,15 354:1,2,15 355:1,2,15 356:1,2,15 357:1,2,15 358:1,2,15 359:1,2,15 360:1,2,15 361:1,2,15 362:1,2,15 363:1,2,15 364:1,2,15 365:1,2,15 366:1,2,15 367:1,2,15 368:1,2,15 369:1,2,15 370:1,2,15 371:1,2,15 372:1,2,15 373:1,2,15 374:1,2,15 375:1,2,15 376:1,2,15 377:1,2,15 378:1,2,15 379:1,2,15 380:1,2,15 381:1,2,15 382:1,2,15 383:1,2,15 384:1,2,15 385:1,2,15 386:1,2,15 387:1,2,15 388:1,2,15 389:1,2,15 390:1,2,15 391:1,2,15 392:1,2,15 393:1,2,15 394:1,2,15 395:1,2,15 396:1,2,15 397:1,2,15 398:1,2,15 399:1,2,15 400:1,2,15 401:1,2,15 402:1,2,15 403:1,2,15 404:1,2,15 405:1,2,15 406:1,2,15 407:1,2,15 408:1,2,15 409:1,2,15 410:1,2,15 411:1,2,15 412:1,2,15 413:1,2,15 414:1,2,15 415:1,2,15 416:1,2,15 417:1,2,15 418:1,2,15 419:1,2,15 420:1,2,15 421:1,2,15 422:1,2,15 423:1,2,15 424:1,2,15 425:1,2,15 426:1,2,15 427:1,2,15 428:1,2,15 429:1,2,15 430:1,2,15 431:1,2,15 432:1,2,15 433:1,2,15 434:1,2,15 435:1,2,15 436:1,2,15 437:1,2,15 438:1,2,15 439:1,2,15 440:1,2,15 441:1,2,15 442:1,2,15 443:1,2,15 444:1,2,15 445:1,2,15 446:1,2,15 447:1,2,15 448:1,2,15 449:1,2,15 450:1,2,15 451:1,2,15 452:1,2,15 453:1,2,15 454:1,2,15 455:1,2,15 456:1,2,15 457:1,2,15 458:1,2,15 459:1,2,15 460:1,2,15 461:1,2,15 462:1,2,15 463:1,2,15 464:1,2,15 465:1,2,15 466:1,2,15 467:1,2,15 468:1,2,15 469:1,2,15 470:1,2,15 471:1,2,15 472:1,2,15 473:1,2,15 474:1,2,15 475:1,2,15 476:1,2,15 477:1,2,15 478:1,2,15 479:1,2,15 480:1,2,15 481:1,2,15 482:1,2,15 483:1,2,15 484:1,2,15 485:1,2,15 486:1,2,15 487:1,2,15 488:1,2,15 489:1,2,15 490:1,2,15 491:1,2,15 492:1,2,15 493:1,2,15 494:1,2,15 495:1,2,15 496:1,2,15 497:1,2,15 498:1,2,15 499:1,2,15 500:1,2,15 501:1,2,15 502:1,2,15 503:1,2,15 504:1,2,15 505:1,2,15 506:1,2,15 507:1,2,15 508:1,2,15 509:1,2,15 510:1,2,15 511:1,2,15 512:1,2,15 513:1,2,15 514:1,2,15 515:1,2,15 516:1,2,15 517:1,2,15 518:1,2,15 519:1,2,15 520:1,2,15 521:1,2,15 522:1,2,15 523:1,2,15 524:1,2,15 525:1,2,15 526:1,2,15 527:1,2,15 528:1,2,15 529:1,2,15 530:1,2,15 531:1,2,15 532:1,2,15 533:1,2,15 534:1,2,15 535:1,2,15 536:1,2,15 537:1,2,15 538:1,2,15 539:1,2,15 540:1,2,15 541:1,2,15 542:1,2,15 543:1,2,15 544:1,2,15 545:1,2,15 546:1,2,15 547:1,2,15 548:1,2,15 549:1,2,15 550:1,2,15 551:1,2,15 552:1,2,15 553:1,2,15 554:1,2,15 555:1,2,15 556:1,2,15 557:1,2,15 558:1,2,15 559:1,2,15 560:1,2,15 561:1,2,15 562:1,2,15 563:1,2,15 564:1,2,15 565:1,2,15 566:1,2,15 567:1,2,15 568:1,2,15 569:1,2,15 570:1,2,15 571:1,2,15 572:1,2,15 573:1,2,15 574:1,2,15 575:1,2,15 576:1,2,15 577:1,2,15 578:1,2,15 579:1,2,15 580:1,2,15 581:1,2,15 582:1,2,15 583:1,2,15 584:1,2,15 585:1,2,15 586:1,2,15 587:1,2,15 588:1,2,15 589:1,2,15 590:1,2,15 591:1,2,15 592:1,2,15 593:1,2,15 594:1,2,15 595:1,2,15 596:1,2,15 597:1,2,15 598:1,2,15 599:1,2,15 600:1,2,15 601:1,2,15 602:1,2,15 603:1,2,15 604:1,2,15 605:1,2,15 606:1,2,15 607:1,2,15 608:1,2,15 609:1,2,15 610:1,2,15 611:1,2,15 612:1,2,15 613:1,2,15 614:1,2,15 615:1,2,15 616:1,2,15 617:1,2,15 618:1,2,15 619:1,2,15 620:1,2,15 621:1,2,15 622:1,2,15 623:1,2,15 624:1,2,15 625:1,2,15 626:1,2,15 627:1,2,15 628:1,2,15 629:1,2,15 630:1,2,15 631:1,2,15 632:1,2,15 633:1,2,15 634:1,2,15 635:1,2,15 636:1,2,15 637:1,2,15 638:1,2,15 639:1,2,15 640:1,2,15 641:1,2,15 642:1,2,15 643:1,2,15 644:1,2,15 645:1,2,15 646:1,2,15 647:1,2,15 648:1,2,15 649:1,2,15 650:1,2,15 651:1,2,15 652:1,2,15 653:1,2,15 654:1,2,15 655:1,2,15 656:1,2,15 657:1,2,15<br
---	---

58:16 59:8	49:11 50:4	75:16,21,22,23	74:10,19 75:15	22:12 42:23
60:8,17,25	51:11 56:20	76:2,9,17,24	Susan 2:8 4:19	43:17 66:17
61:8 62:8,16	58:10 59:17	subpoenas 76:13	30:17	70:9
63:5,15 64:1	76:3	subsequent	suspect 14:12,15	targeted 44:11
64:10,22 65:12	statements	66:22	22:17	tee 17:24 18:1,13
66:9 67:6 68:5	59:18	subsequently	swaths 38:8	35:4,18 36:1
68:12,19,21	STATES 1:1	54:2,7	<hr/>	47:19 60:11,22
69:2,22 70:7	static 24:13	subset 9:15	T	61:9 63:11,22
70:23 71:1,12	status 1:5 7:7	substance 6:12	T 2:13,17,22	63:23
71:21 72:2,9	9:3,8	31:24,25 76:23	78:1,1	teed 64:2 77:4
72:14,25 74:6	statute 48:22	substantive 7:23	table 42:20	tell 7:4 9:22
74:17,24 75:12	steam 10:17	8:6 63:1	66:23	47:22
75:24 76:11	Steering 3:22,25	subtracks 16:10	tail 6:19	telling 7:3
77:2,16,22	14:18 15:12	successful 54:10	take 11:10 13:6	tells 74:9
specific 16:2	41:25	suggest 19:11	13:25 14:2	tentatively 69:13
17:7,14 18:24	stenographic...	27:8 37:24	15:1,6,9,9,25	71:8 72:9
19:20,22 21:6	78:5	54:20	18:6,10 22:15	term 33:16
22:3,3	Step 37:17	suggested 65:7	25:6 26:22	terms 9:8 12:21
specifically	stickler 56:12	suggesting 61:13	28:3,10,14	14:10 17:6,14
52:16 58:7	straighten 69:5	70:4	31:16,23 33:6	20:4 23:11
specifics 13:24	69:7	suggestion 23:23	33:9 45:14,15	32:21 36:6,10
spent 10:23 51:9	straightforward	28:19,20 29:1	45:23 49:10	67:22 68:13
spoke 67:17,19	77:5	37:19 39:4	57:6 59:11,12	Terrific 74:17
spoken 54:16	streamline 37:10	54:15 63:16,16	62:23 65:2,6,8	testify 67:25
spot 37:20	STREET 1:15	64:12,21 68:13	73:11	testimony 16:12
spring 69:24	1:18 2:6,17,20	suggests 37:17	taken 14:20	34:5 78:4
stamp 51:21	strikes 49:15	SUITE 1:12	25:14 32:17	thank 4:25,25
stamped 75:23	strong 8:23	2:20	52:19 57:18,24	35:1 43:1
76:24	struck 10:11	sum 6:12 73:1	58:20 59:17	45:25 68:11,25
stand 29:25	stuck 26:4	supersede 77:7	78:4	theoretical
67:23	studied 20:17	support 32:14	takes 11:24	59:17
standing 46:19	study 20:21	32:14 39:2	50:15	theory 31:25
71:19	stuff 42:19	43:9,12 73:6	talc 3:6 7:16	thing 48:15 50:5
standpoint 16:1	subject 13:19	supporting 39:2	TALCOM 1:4	59:16 64:21
start 3:17,18	33:21 34:4	39:24 40:24	talk 7:7,8 12:21	67:21 74:2
5:10 7:2 10:4	submit 39:9,23	43:8 44:3 73:6	21:25 22:14	things 15:22
54:15 62:23	54:20 55:24	suppose 57:17	28:22 31:7	22:11 25:25
started 10:8	73:5	76:11	34:20,21 56:4	27:21 28:25
20:2	subpoena 48:15	Supreme 67:24	64:15,16 65:8	44:2
starting 9:21	48:17 49:7	sure 5:23 6:9	69:14	think 3:15 6:17
48:6	50:17 51:1,17	8:24 14:3	talked 10:20	6:22 7:5,13 8:4
state 6:24,25	51:20 52:5	16:11 19:14	15:15 27:10	15:22 17:14
7:19 14:21	53:22 54:2,6	20:6 40:13	43:4 46:24	18:20 19:1,11
22:24 36:11	54:18 55:2	44:9 51:3,4	72:22	20:25 21:2,10
41:23 47:2,23	61:14 65:10	61:17 65:5,23	talking 9:23	21:16,17,23
48:2,4,21 49:4	75:4,6,7,9,13	67:2 68:9	14:5 18:5	23:3,16 25:23

26:15,18 28:21	74:9 76:23,25	total 5:9 11:8	typically 33:18	V 2:5
29:10,24 30:2	thousand 36:24	totally 21:24	33:20 34:4	vague 8:25
31:22 32:2,7	46:6	tough 20:9	43:15	Valsartan 6:16
33:4,23 34:20	thousands 31:10	track 8:22 11:19	U	43:7
35:5,11,17,24	three 10:8,14	12:8 13:17	ultimately 51:19	Vannah 12:14
37:11,12,17,19	44:19 64:5,11	14:17 23:9,14	54:1 57:23	vehicles 26:3
37:22 38:6,10	71:9	30:22,24 62:19	62:11	videoconference
40:2,16 41:2	throw 34:16	trailer 77:13	1:5 3:2	1:5 3:2
42:4 43:21,24	Thursday 70:25	transcript 35:6	view 38:9 58:17	
44:1,2 45:24	71:20	35:20 78:4	Viscomi 7:22	
46:21,21 47:2	tied 20:12	transition 38:12	38:1 51:12,23	
47:6,6,22	time 8:21 12:8	treating 14:14	52:23 54:1	
48:13,13 49:23	18:17 21:17	trial 9:14 11:13	65:14,17 66:4	
50:7 51:5 55:6	25:16 27:21	11:17,21 12:4	66:5	volunteering
55:22,25 56:1	28:11 29:17	16:6 20:18	46:2	
56:5,16 57:13	38:14 44:22	21:12 23:2	W	
57:21,21 58:3	51:9 59:25	29:23 31:2	wait 8:8 59:12	
58:7,8,21 59:4	70:13 71:25	33:9,9 34:8	60:9,13 61:2	
60:2 62:4	72:1,24 78:5	35:18 57:19	63:23 76:17,20	
63:22 64:8,12	timeline 66:8	70:1 74:12	77:11	
64:13,24 65:7	times 27:23 43:6	trials 16:3 30:19	waiver 43:24	
65:17 66:16,17	43:6	33:8 34:11	Walker 2:23	
66:21 67:16	Tisi 2:5 4:7,8 5:5	69:24	WALNUT 2:20	
68:1,15 69:5,6	15:4,10,11	tried 8:22 67:23	want 5:20,25 9:6	
69:25 70:3,7	23:3,4 27:9	TRT 33:2 34:7	13:3,25 14:2	
70:19 72:20	32:23 33:2,20	true 15:8 78:3	15:6,20 16:18	
73:24 74:8	37:9 44:23	try 5:2 16:4 27:4	17:3,23 18:9	
75:2,2 76:20	45:16,19 46:3	37:10 44:24	unfortunately	
76:22 77:5,9	46:14,17,21	67:13 70:18	5:7 26:1	
thinking 17:18	47:5,13,20	trying 15:24	uniform 48:14	
18:19 28:5,6	51:2 52:2 53:4	16:11 17:20	50:25 51:5	
third 16:16 19:5	53:8 56:15	20:5 23:15	united 1:1 29:25	
23:8 25:5	57:2,13,21	27:19 38:5	unlearn 55:19	
52:11 70:11	61:19 62:17	43:22 47:24	unprivileged	
third-parties	63:8,25 64:16	64:19	56:21	
21:4	67:8 71:23	turn 14:23 34:24	31:12,16 33:8	
third-party	72:4,7 74:18	35:3	uphill 64:24	
15:25 16:21	75:2,14,22	turns 59:19	35:17,25 40:17	
18:7 19:5,7,8	76:1,21	two 6:15 9:4	uphold 38:8	
26:15 27:6	today 7:14 9:5	16:10 17:23	upset 56:13	
THOMAS 2:5	12:21 21:25	18:9 38:20	41:18 43:9	
2:17	22:11,14 30:6	44:18 49:10	46:5 59:11,12	
thought 7:2,17	71:1,1	62:19 64:5	59:25 67:1	
8:8 31:5,5 35:6	told 64:18 65:18	69:14 71:14	usable 16:2 33:7	
45:20 64:19	Tom 5:17	two-page 38:24	use 23:2 35:17	
69:2 72:21	top 28:6	type 66:16	46:19	
			useful 34:14	
			USMJ 1:9 3:2	
			usually 3:19	
			18:15	
			wanted 32:20	
			41:16 44:16	
			45:4 66:23	
			67:4 68:9	
			75:14	
			wants 11:3	

WASHINGTON	witness	13:20 14:19 15:17 34:3 50:20 58:10 67:23 witnesses	23:12 51:3 55:8 76:24 wrote	68:19 71:13 73:14 74:4 14 6:12 17:9 18:22 19:1,3 X	27th 22:24 42:23 2nd 13:11 24:7 25:4 3 3 17:11 71:20 3:16-md-2738...
wasn't		14:13 15:20 16:17,20,21 21:6 33:7 34:2	Wolfson	XI00416 78:15 Y	1440 2:13 14th 17:19 18:4 18:12,15 20:6 yeah 20:8 26:14 41:11 45:16 63:5 65:5 67:14,21 72:4 74:6 77:1,2,9 year 13:12 31:9 32:4 years 6:13,14 10:23 77:17 yesterday 10:6 10:10 15:14 20:16 29:12 46:24 47:15 51:10 53:5 67:16,19 YORK 2:13
1:16 2:14,18		9:18 11:20 16:3 22:1 23:24,25 29:16 35:21 52:8 56:16 58:17,21 58:24 62:15 68:14 69:7,15 69:18 74:9		15th 13:8 65:18 68:15 69:20 73:8 16-2738 3:6 16th 23:6 17th 35:8 1825 1:15 19106 2:21 1st 24:2	31 1:7 316 2:6 31st 71:2 32502 2:6 36104 1:19 3rd 72:18 4
75:24		35:7 55:17 58:6 59:18 70:19		2 2 12:9 71:18,25 20 73:16 20,000 62:1 20004 2:18 20005 2:14 20006 1:16 2017 3:12 2021 1:7 11:25 2022 11:21 12:4 07701 2:3 07932 2:9 08002 1:13 1 1 25:7 37:17 62:20 10,000-foot 9:10 9:23 101 1:12 10th 18:16 11 27:14 67:12 127 2:3 12th 18:1,16 13 2:10 9:12	4 68:20,22 71:13 71:25 72:3,4 74:4 4:13 34:18 4:30 34:18 4160 1:19 45 33:9 5 5:11 77:25 500 2:20 510 2:20 5th 42:14,25 63:20 73:7,14 6 600 2:9 6th 18:6 7 704 3:13 7th 18:16 8 9 9 38:23 39:18 40:21 63:18
wave					
way					
7:2 18:16					
28:13 33:3					
34:6 35:4 49:3					
49:24 50:6,7					
50:25 52:1,24					
56:1 61:13					
63:22 64:17					
65:7 67:24					
we'll					
7:5 13:13					
18:18 29:8					
36:1 39:25					
40:15 54:22					
60:11 64:13					
65:8 68:22					
70:6 71:8,9,13					
73:1,18,25					
74:1					
we've					
12:2 27:19					
27:22,22					
week					
17:23 18:8					
39:24 41:13					
60:11					
weekend					
42:15					
weeks					
61:7					
69:14					
weigh					
25:9					
34:19 76:6					
went					
51:15					
52:14 54:13					
67:24					
whatsoever					
8:5					
44:14 48:18					
White					
12:14					
whittled					
10:7					
wholeheartedly					
69:23					
willing					
50:18,19					
win					
60:24					
Wished					
7:24					
withdraw					
61:14					

March 31, 2021

94

73:3,10 975 2:17 9th 36:15 37:11 38:19,25 39:5 40:5 41:3 71:15 73:22			
---	--	--	--